Proposal	Growth Strategy – Housing	Lead / Responsible	Rhian Hayden
Title:		Officer:	•
Ref No:	RE01	Directorate:	Resources
Date:	03/01/2022 (Updated)	Section:	Council Tax

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Our Growth Strategy describes our ambition for developing our Borough and creating new communities. This will involve a programme of housing development that will see an impact on our revenue streams through increased Council Tax. This will contribute to the MTFS and is a critical element of building strong communities.

House building within the borough is continuing with a number of new dwellings now occupied including the larger sites of:

- Golwg Y Bryn £16.8 million Lovell development of 100 homes (70 open market, 30 affordable).
- Carn Y Cefn £29million Persimmon development of 277 homes (222 private, 55 affordable). Annual build target 30 units (up to 50 depending on sales demand). 5 phase development.
- Northgate 56 homes (51 open market, 5 affordable. Annual build rate 25 30 per/annum, 2 year build programme.
- Ashvale Circa 70 homes (63 open market, 7 affordable).

The Housing Trajectory indicates the following projections (for sites with planning permission and an allowance for small sites):-

2022-23: 188 2023 -24: 209 2024-25: 157

A prudent estimate based on council tax at Band B (£1,600) and assuming increased costs reduce income to net 50% the increased council tax yield would be approximately:-

2023-24 £150,000 2024-25 £160,000 2025-26 £120,000

			·				
Question	Y/N	Comments / im	pact				
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent					
			I to the natued commun		mate crisis	and en	able
			ious and in at the right			•	uality
			x Empowering and supporting communities to be satindependent and resilient				
		Additional Com	ments::				
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		There will be an such as refuse costs to the Corwider services etc) should be in the £ spent in B	collection, ouncil.  provided for macted por pacted por	education or the comi ositively ie	etc. which munity (e.g	will incr	ease e, retail
Will this proposal have any financial		Description	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28
benefit?		Increase in Council Tax collection	150,000	160,000	120,000	£	£

	Additional Comments:
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required  2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £ £  Additional Comments: Inward investment from House Builders required
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref Benefit  1 Better & Increased number of housing for residents  2 Enhanced reputation for BG as a place to live  3 4 5  Ref Disadvantage  1 2 3 4 5  Additional Comments:

Proposal	Further savings from	Lead / Responsible	Steve Smith
Title:	Industrial Portfolio income	Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV25	Directorate:	R&CS
Date:	13/02/2023	Section:	Development and
			Estates

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	13.02.23	
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

As part of the original Bridging the Gap proposals the management arrangements for the Council's Industrial Units are being changed and improved to enable us to gain more funding from the portfolio.

Work has continued despite the recruitment issues to the industrial units' surveyor to manage the portfolio. However, leases are being renewed for greater charges per square foot, in line with commercial rents; enforcement is being carried out to ensure we receive rents for the units; and some new units are being brought back onto the market through improvement works. This has enabled the Council to offer up more income towards the BTG proposals.

The industrial units will still need to be maintained and managed properly with a sufficient maintenance budget provision, but the income has risen over the past three years.

All units will have to pass the new regulations in terms of energy efficiency and EPCs, this many mean some have to be improved so that they pass, with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2023 this requirement will be extended to both new and existing leases. This means that landlords cannot continue to let or sub-let a commercial property with an EPC rating of less than E.

In terms of future income, we have to be mindful that although we are in a better position in terms of income generation overall, that the current economic circumstances are risky as we will see more SMEs going into administration due to high inflation and the pressure of very high utility bills. This could see income fall over the next few years but we do not know how much or to what extent at this point.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact

Does this proposal align with the							
Corporate Plan?							
Pick One:							
Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent	Υ						
Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities	N						
An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place	Y						
Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	Υ						
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		There should be no	impact o	on the p	ublic an	d other	services.
Will this proposal	Υ					_	
have any financial		Description	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
benefit?		Increased	£100,000				
		income					
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
		Additional Commen	ts:				
Will this proposal	N						
require investment		Investment Required	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28
to implement?		Maintenance	TBA	£	£	£	£
		budget including					
		EPC work					
	Ì		_				

		To incr	onal Comments rease the energy ng E. Without this	efficienc	y of those	e industria	al units tha	at are not
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Y	1 2 3 4 5 5 Ref 1 2 3 4 5 5	Benefit Retains and g Provides sup BG  Disadvantage	ply chai			er comp	panies in

Proposal	Trade Waste Service –	Lead / Responsible	Matt Stent
Title:	Increase fees	Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV22	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	07/02/23	Section:	Neighbourhood
			Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	07/02/23	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Increase fees to current customer base [including local businesses and internal depts.] for Commercial premises waste collections. This is an Environmental Act compliant service and as such does work out slightly more expensive than other commercial waste collections. This may have a detrimental effect on 'selling' the service to businesses over the next 12 months given the down-turn in the economy.

To achieve the value stated below, a 20% uplift in fees to customers will be required, or an increase in the number of customers. This proposal may require more time to reach its potential growth.

Total saving / additional income - £64,000

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal		
align with the		
Corporate Plan?		
Pick One:		
Maximise learning and skills for all to	N	
create a prosperous, thriving, resilient		
Blaenau Gwent		
<ul> <li>Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable</li> </ul>	Υ	Our service actively encourages businesses to recycling more effectively.

connected communities  An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	Y	Delivering a weekly kerbsic collection service	le, Envir	onment	Act com	npliant,
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		Price increase for internal of school canteens and Corpor of current customer base]	rate Lar	ndlord p	remises	[27.5%
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Υ	Description 2023/24 £ Additional £64,000 income  Additional Comments:	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	N	Investment Required £  Additional Comments:  Could work with partners like B help advertise the environment wish to use the service to build term proposal.)	al complia	nce to the	ose busine	esses who

Mill this proposal	Υ		
Will this proposal	I	Ref	Benefit
have any non-		1	Deliciit
financial impacts?		2	
		3	
		5	
		5	
		D.C.	P'er berete er
		Ref	Disadvantage  Denutational demands
		1	Reputational damage
		2	Reduction in customer base as increasing costs will
			likely lead to customers going elsewhere for the
		_	service
		3	No scope for re-investment in the service
		4	Does not allow for any future pay increase
		5	Does not allow for any future increase in gate fees &
			services
		Addi	tional Comments:
		Expe	ected loss of customers and therefore income, strong
		risk o	of not achieving the £64,000 in the short term.

Proposal	Wind Turbine at Silent	Lead / Responsible	Amy Taylor/ Ellie Fry
Title:	Valley Site	Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV16	Directorate:	R&CS
Date:	11/11/2022	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	12/12/23	Format and clarification
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

#### Proposal:

- To install a wind turbine at the former Silent Valley Landfill Site for the generation of renewable energy
- To utilise the renewable energy to power the operations at Silent Valley
- To export and sell any underutilised electricity to the grid
- Various options modelled 80% export and 20% used on site, 50% export and 50% used on site, 20% export and 80% used on site
- Estimates indicate a net financial benefit of £77,000 per annum as a minimum

This proposal is at planning application stage and is also going through the procurement process alongside planning. Build could happen later in 2023 if capital funding is agreed with income generation from the end of the year.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?	Y	Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  ✓ Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities
		An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient

	Additional Comments::  This project will help the Council with its target to become net zero by 2030.
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	The proposal will require investment in the early years of operation
Will this proposal	
have any financial	Description 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £
benefit?	Financial benefit £77,200
	<ul> <li>Cost of prudential borrowing for the project over 10 years (2021 rates)</li> <li>Annual Operating costs</li> <li>Export to grid income (50% of generated energy)</li> <li>Usage on site (50% of generated energy)</li> <li>The financial benefit will depend upon a number of factors: <ul> <li>The rates achievable for the sale of exported electricity will not be fully known until completion of the project (currently around 5p – 6p per kWh)</li> <li>The avoided electricity costs will not be fully known until the project has completed (currently around 25p per Kwh)</li> <li>If electricity costs increase in line with current trajectory the export income and avoided electricity costs will improve and enable the project to either 1) payback earlier; or 2) enable the council to realise a greater financial benefit earlier</li> <li>The more energy that is used by existing operations the greater the avoided energy costs and overall financial benefit</li> <li>The life of a turbine is expected to be circa. 20 years</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Will this proposal	Investment Dequired 2000/04 2004/05 2005/00 2000/07 2007/00
require investment	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £
to implement?	Capital Expenditure £1.97m
	Additional Comments: capital expenditure is based on estimate – procurement will confirm the capital required

Will this proposal		
have any non-	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	1	Assist the Council to become carbon neutral
inanciai impacts:	2	Assist with increasing locally owned renewable generation
	3	,
	4	
	5	
	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	Public perception of wind turbines
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal	Income Generation – Fees	Lead / Responsible	Rhian Hayden
Title:	& Charges	Officer:	-
Ref No:	RE02	Directorate:	Resources
Date:	13/01/2022	Section:	Cross Cutting

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

One of the strategic business reviews is Fees & Charges with a target to deliver an additional £100,000 income towards the funding gap identified in the MTFS.

The Council has SLAs in place with Aneurin Leisure Trust and Schools to provide direct services (catering and cleaning) and support services (OD, Finance, Legal etc). The SLAs generate income (based on 22/23) of £3.18m from Schools and £158,000 from the Leisure Trust.

The annual uplift applied to the SLA charges is included within the SLA agreements between the Council and the relevant organisation, and this states that for:

- support services, charges are uplifted by CPI at the December prior to the start of the financial year and
- direct services will be reviewed on an annual basis in line with service requirements.

CPI at December 2022 was 10.5% (10.7% for November),

The 2023/2024 MTFS assumptions include no uplift to the Fess & Charges budgets.

Increasing SLA's by CPI will have the following impact on Customers:

Aneurin Leisure Trust: £16,560

Schools:

Increase %	Overall financial impact £	Largest school Increase £	Average Increase £	Impact on Income for Council
10.5%	334,775	55,235	13,390	334,775

Given that the SLA's were developed when the expectations of CPI levels were significantly lower consideration can be given to diverting from current arrangements (with customer agreement) and increasing SLA's at lower rates that CPI.

Given that most of the costs relate to staffing, there is merit in considering a 5% increase to SLA – this is based upon the current assumption of pay increases for 2023/24 and would be in line with full cost recovery.

### Aneurin Leisure Trust

Increasing support services SLAs in line with the SLA agreement and CPI of 10.5% will generate income of £11,500, and increasing cleaning by 10.5% will generate £5,060 towards this target and the budget funding gap.

As the increase to the management fee is also based on CPI, (as of September prior to the start of the financial year,) which is 10.1%, it is proposed to apply the original uplift in line with the SLA agreement.

(NB:There is a business case proposing a reduction to the management fee).

#### **Schools**

Should the Council increase the SLAs with schools by 10.5%, this result in additional costs for schools of £334,775 for 2023/2024, with the average increase per school of £13,390 (the largest increase £55,235). This level of increase exceeds the proposed uplift in funding within the MTFS of 3%, thus adding to the current cost pressures facing schools with the pay award and energy increases.

An increase of 10.5% on all SLA's would generate additional income for the Council of £334,775 to contribute towards the funding gap, whilst creating a cost pressure for schools.

This Business case proposes deviating from the SLA agreement with schools. The table below shows the financial impact of a range of options:

Increase %	Impact on Costs for Schools £	Largest school Increase £	Average Increase £	Impact on Income for Council
2% (BoE target inflation rate)	63,770	10,520	2,550	63,770
3% (MTFS assumption for funding uplift	95,650	15,780	3,826	95,650
5% (MTFS assumption for pay award)	159,417	26,302	6,377	159,420
10.5%	334,775	55,235	13,391	334,775

As the costs to the Council in delivering the SLAs are mainly staffing costs, it is proposed to increase the SLAs by 5% in line with the pay award assumption to maintain the principle of full cost recovery which will minimise the cost pressure to schools, ensure that full cost recovery is

maintained (depending on the 23/24 pay award) and deliver £159,000 towards the BtG target of £100,000.

In previous years schools would have benefited from increases in funding exceeding increases in SLA costs, e.g. for 2022/2023 the ISB increase was 8.4%, whilst CPI was 5.4%.

The overall financial impact for the Council if the preferred options are agreed is an achievement of £175,560 against the target efficiency of £100,000.

Proposing increasing the charges for Catering and cleaning charges may result in schools renegotiating service levels in order to reduce costs, therefore, it is proposed to leave the efficiency target at £100,000 to mitigate against cost pressure materialising in 2023/2024. This will be reviewed when charges finalised.

	Y/N	Comi	ments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan ?		Pleas	se indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent
			Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities
		x	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place
			Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient

	Additional Comments::
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	Service continues to be provided at the current level whilst minimising cost pressures for schools.  Any increase below 5% will result in less than cost recovery.
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ N/A  N/A  Additional Comments:
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref Benefit 1 2 3 4 5

	Ref	Disadvantage	
	1	Customers may opt to change service levels to	
		reduce costs	
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	, <u> </u>		
	Add	itional Comments:	
	L		

Proposal Title:	Establishing a Professional Buying Team	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Bernadette Elias / Lee Williams
Ref No:	CS01	Directorate:	Commercial Services
Date:	31.10.22	Section:	Procurement

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Establish a virtual team of professional buyers to oversee the organisations 3<sup>rd</sup> party ordering requirements. Given the impending budget pressures, it is imperative that all 3<sup>rd</sup> party expenditure for goods, services and works is undertaken in a compliant manner i.e.

- i) orders raised in advance of committing expenditure and not retrospectively (this will enable the authority to implement it's no PO no payment policy);
- ii) increased spend with contracted suppliers and reduction of off-contract spend (an area where we aren't able to apply the council's terms and conditions as protection);

Supporting Data: Please answer the following questions, with information available at this stage. Further information may need to be added prior to finalisation of proposal. Question Y/N Comments / impact Does this proposal Υ Please indicate which priority the proposal links to: align with the Maximise learning and skills for all to create a Corporate Plan? prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent Pick One: Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities X An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient Additional Comments: Increased control of 3rd party expenditure through What is the impact of this proposal on appropriate check & challenge. the public & other services? Will require some realignment of some job roles currently devolved across the organisation in order to establish a core team of professional buyers. Will this proposal Description 2025/26 2023/24 2024/25 2026/27 2027/28 have any financial £ £ benefit? Savings as a result of professional buying 396,550 100,000 | 100,000 **Additional Comments:** For the period 01.04.22 – 05.09.22, 7,312 orders raised totalling £51,347,886.54 Est savings of approx. 0.5%

Will this proposal						
require investment	Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
to implement?		L	L	L	L	L.
		I	I.	l .		
	Additional Comment The proposal would re responsibility for placir redeployed to support	quire that ng orders	/ process	ing invoid		
Will this proposal						
have any non-	Ref Benefit					
financial impacts?	1 Maximising in					
	2 Greater com Contract Pro			ancial R	egulatio	ns and
	3	cedure r	Rules			
	4					
	5					
	Ref Disadvantage					
	1					
	2					
	3					
	5					
	3					
	Additional Comm	ents:				

Proposal	Income Generation - Cwrt	Lead / Responsible	Alyson Hoskins
Title:	Mytton	Officer:	_
Ref No:	SS04	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	08/11/22	Section:	Adult services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Cwrt Mytton is a 36 beds home for people with dementia.

The home currently accommodates 24 residents. Each resident is assessed as to the contributions they can make to the care they receive. There are currently 4 residents who pay a full charge for their care, the remaining residents pay varying rates according to their financial assessment.

The current net budget for 2022/23 is £1.2M, which at Quarter 2 is projecting an overspend of £0.154M. This cost pressure is mainly due to the staffing budget projecting an overspend of £0.270M as a result of recruitment & retention issues and therefore agency staff being used at a higher cost.

The Customer & Client Receipts budget of £323,000 is currently forecast to achieve £443.000 and is partially offsetting this staffing overspend by £0.120M, due to the income received from client contributions.

This proposal requires work to be done over the next 2 yrs to address the recruitment issues in Cwrt Myton, set a clear vision and plan to increase the numbers of residents accommodated in Cwrt Myton which could result in income generation from the contributions made by residents

The achievement of this proposal is subject to the outcome of SS13 – the closure/outsourcing of Cwrt Mytton.

Supporting Data: Please answer the following questions, with information available at this stage. Further information may need to be added prior to finalisation of proposal. Y/N Question Comments / impact Does this proposal Please indicate which priority the proposal links to: align with the Maximise learning and skills for all to create a Corporate Plan? prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient Additional Comments:: What is the impact If a clear vision and plan can be realised this will have a positive impact for the people of Blaenau Gwent as it will of this proposal on the public & other enable greater provision of dementia services closer to home. services? It could also attract people from outside Blaenau Gwent to use this provision resulting in income generation Will this proposal 2025/26 2023/24 2024/25 2026/27 2027/28 have any financial Description benefit? £100,00 **Additional Comments:** 

Will this proposal									
require investment		Investment Required	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28		
			£	£	£	£	£		
to implement?									
	Ī	Additional Commen	te ·						
		Additional Common							
		Yes, we are in the pr	ocess of	having d	iscussio	ns about	making a		
		capital bid to the Re							
		facilities at Cwrt Myt	on			-			
Will this proposal									
have any non-		Ref Benefit							
financial impacts?		1 If the staffing	pressui	e is res	olved ar	nd staffir	ng		
		numbers inc							
		then offer m							
		people with							
	-	2 Increased no			nte will	generati	nore		
			allibers C	n reside	iilo wiii	general	- IIIOIC		
		income							
		3							
		4							
		5							
		Ref Disadvantage							
		1							
		2							
		3							
		4							
		5							
	Г								
		Additional Comments:							

Proposal	Income Generation –	Lead / Responsible	Sarah King/Ellie Fry
Title:	General Offices	Officer:	-
Ref No:	CS10	Directorate:	Corporate
			Services/Regeneration
			& Community Services
Date:		Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

A number of rooms in the General Offices were provided as part of a Democratic Hub for Members, following the election in 2022 (in line with the legislative requirement for Members to have access to offices/rooms). Following a review of the usage of the rooms, it has been agreed that some of those rooms can be re-designated and be offered for internal/external room hire/booking. A group of officers has discussed the re-designation and is agreeing the future management/booking of the rooms, and movement of the hybrid system from the main Chamber into some of the smaller rooms.

Whilst the Democratic Services team will maintain some responsibility for those rooms allocated primarily to Members, the Business Support team in the GO will oversee and manage any external room hire/bookings and subsequent income generation.

Question	Y/N	Comr	Comments / impact			
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Pleas	se indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a			
		X	Prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities			
		X	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place			
		X	Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient			

	Additional Commen	Additional Comments::						
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	We are providing a businesses and en innovative meeting	treprene	urs to uti	lise a m	odern a	nd		
Will this proposal			2004/05	2227/22		0007/00		
have any financial benefit?	Description	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £		
	Income Generation	60,000						
	This is an estimat Business Support	Additional Comments:  This is an estimate based on the figures provided by the Business Support team at the GO for a previous years income generation receipts.						
Will this proposal								
require investment to implement?	Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £		
·								
	There may be sor the smaller rooms	Additional Comments:  There may be some costs to move the hybrid system into the smaller rooms and re-configure the rooms – this will be confirmed in January following meetings with contractors.						

Will this proposal		
have any non-	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	1	Modern and innovative office space available in the
		locality for businesses and entrepreneurs to hire out
		·
	L	
	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	Disadvantage
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Reduction in the Children's Services Legal Budget	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Alison Ramshaw
Ref No:	SS01	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	08/11/22	Section:	Childrens services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

The proposal is to cut the legal budget by £175,000.

In the past legal services were delivered by the local authority. However due to staff leaving and the inability to recruit new solicitors at the time, following a tendering exercise children's legal services were then delivered by a private law firm. This resulted in excessive costs for this service leading to this budget line consistently overspending.

To mitigate against this a collaborative arrangement was entered into with Caerphilly CBC who now deliver Childrens services legal

The total legal budget is £756,700 (this includes £123,340 which is pass ported to children's services from the legal department which previously covered the costs of LA solicitors)

The cost of the SLA with Caerphilly is £306,739

This leaves £449,961

£275,000 will need to be retained in the budget to pay for court applications, independent assessments and barrister fees

Leaving £175,000 which can be put forward as savings

Supporting Data: Please answer the following questions, with information available at this stage. Further information may need to be added prior to finalisation of proposal. Y/N Question Comments / impact Does this proposal Please indicate which priority the proposal links to: align with the Maximise learning and skills for all to create a Corporate Plan? no prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable no connected communities An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality yes services at the right time and in the right place Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, Yes independent and resilient Additional Comments:: What is the impact There is minimal impact if this proposal is taken forward. of this proposal on Entering into a collaborative arrangement with Caerphilly has the public & other reduced the financial burden on this budget line services? Will this proposal 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 Description have any financial £ benefit? Reduction of £175,000 legal budget Additional Comments:

Will this proposal							
require investment	Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £	
to implement?		L	L	L	L	L	
		1					
	Additional Commen	ts:					
	n/a						
VA/SII 41-1							
Will this proposal	Ref Benefit						
have any non- financial impacts?	1						
illialiciai illipacts!	2						
	3						
	4						
	5						
	Ref Disadvantage						
	1						
	2						
	3						
	5						
	5						
	Additional Comn	nonte:					
	Additional Comm	ients.					
	no						

Proposal Title:	Maximisation of the Children & Communities Grant (CCG)	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Alison Ramshaw
Ref No:	SS02	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	08/11/22	Section:	Childrens Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

There are certain officer posts funded via the RSG within Children's Services that line manage officers that deliver preventative services within Families First which sits under the children and communities grant. At this time no costs are recovered from this grant to fund the line management support

The officer post in question is Service Manager post BG03509.

The cost of the post at the top of the scale is £84,100

This post currently has 4 direct reports so her time would be divided equally between these.

Her salary divided by 4 would result in £21,025 being funded from the Children and Communities Grant.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact		
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  y Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent		
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities		
		y An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place		

	y Empowering and supporting communities to be saindependent and resilient  Additional Comments::					oe safe,	
What is the immed	Thin	معن مع ما النب	nact on	the OCC	2 havina	to diain	vest in front
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	line p	vill have an im osts, which wi ing needs fror	ll in turn	reduce			
Will this proposal	Descri	ption	2023/24	2024/2	5 2025/26	6 2026/27	7 2027/28
have any financial benefit?		agement port for CCG	£21,02	£	£	£	£
	Additional Comments:						
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investr	ment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
	Addit	tional Comment	s:				

Will this proposal	
have any non-	Ref Benefit
financial impacts?	
·	2
	3
	4
	5
	Ref Disadvantage
	1 There will be a reduction in front line support posts
	to cover this management charge. This will reduce
	services to prevent needs from escalating
	2
	3
	4
	5
	Additional Comments:

### Bridging The Gap -

Proposal Title:	Review of Provider manager capacity across Supported Living and Augusta / Community Options	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Alyson Hoskins / Alison Minett/ Mary Welch
Ref No:	SS06	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	27/11/22	Section:	Provider Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	19/01/23	Revised CO costings added MW – report embedded
2	29/1/23	Confirmed SL/ Augusta report embedded

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Report submitted to SLT to change the management structure across:

**Option proposal 1** – Supported Living and Augusta – phase 1 saving £45,000 – (further savings possible following phase 2 should an additional bungalow be closed (4 to 3) - report submitted to SLT/ CLT w/c 29<sup>th</sup> Jan 23

**Option proposal 2** – Community Options management review - £16,960 - approved by SLT / CLT 24/11/22 – implemented from 01.12.23

Both proposals involve deleting vacant posts and restructuring management arrangements by:

#### Option 1.

Reducing from 4 registered managers to 3 by, deleting the Registered managers post at Augusta (scale 8) and reconfiguring the funds from this post to enable;

- one manager scale 10 over both SL and Augusta.
- bolstering Deputy manager roles across SL and Augusta
- reviewing scale 6 team leader posts

#### Option 2.

Restructure the Community Options Management team by deleting the scale 7 post (37hrs) and utilise the funds to increase the hours on the vacant scale 8 post (15hrs). **This option** has been achieved as of 1/1/23 and the grade 7 post will be removed from the structure 23/24, resulting in a saving of £4,000 for the remaining 3 months of 22/23 and a full 12 months saving of £16,960

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal		
align with the		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:
Corporate Plan ?		Maximise learning and skills for all to create a
		prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities
		An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place
		Yes Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient
		Additional Comments::
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?		Options 1 a and 2 are currently being finalised and savings are already being made due to temporary staffing arrangements in place pending final agreement by SLT / CLT.
Will this proposal		
have any financial		Description 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £
benefit?		Option 1a – 45,000 staffing (Supported Living & Augusta House)
		Option 2 - 16,960 Community Options
		Total   61,960

	Additional Comments:  Report submitted to SLT for options 1a and 2 – staff and trade union discussions have commenced – OD are aware and supporting – posts are currently vacant .						
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	No  Additional Commer N/a		2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref 1 2 3 4 5 5 Add	Benefit Streamlines no impact fo Consolidates from 2023  Disadvantage None	r service s and up	recipie	nts		

Proposal Title:	Review of telephony (to include customer access	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Bernadette Elias / Leanne Roberts		
	and mobile phones)				
Ref No:	CS04	Directorate:	Corporate Services		
Date:	10/11/22	Section:	Commercial &		
			Customer		

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

2 phase approach to undertaking this review

#### Phase 1

Review of telephony (this has recently commenced) to establish telephony needs of services across the LA to include;

- Mobile phones (currently 957 devices, circa £120k per annum);
- Desk phones;
- Soft phones.

#### Phase 2

Building on the recent external organisation review of Customer access & offer (due to be presented to CLT 9<sup>th</sup> February 2023) a proposed review of the various teams and functions that offer a telephony service to residents to consider opportunities for consolidation and or streamlining an enhanced service.

Baseline data of the main telephone lines into the Local Authority, including demand on those telephone lines, to focus on cost of telephony service as a whole. The review would give a clearer oversight of the number of FTEs involved in dealing with customer contact via the telephone.

The review would aim to identify financial efficiencies which would need to be identified as part of a scoping exercise which would include staffing and systems, initial thoughts would include;

- IAA (including Out of Hours service)
- Contact Centre (including Out of Hours service)
- Revenues and Bens telephony services;
- Business Support, where telephony functions are offered to residents on behalf of a specific service;

- Children and young people information service;
- Any other telephony services to be identified.

stage. I urtiler illioitii	auon n	nay need to be added prior to finalisation of proposal.
Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  X Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities  X An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		Aiming for a single platform for LA telephony service which would not have a negative impact on the public.  Potentially an element of service redesign for some services with increased resilience in some areas. Any consolidation and efficiencies would need to ensure an acceptable level of service delivery.

Will this proposal						
have any financial	Description 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28					
benefit?	Potential TBD TBD reduction in number of staff					
	Reduction of systems / integration of systems					
	Reduce third 48,000 TBD party spend					
	Additional Comments: Findings from the external review should provide some opportunities around this					
Will this proposal require investment	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £					
to implement?						
	Additional Comments:					
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref Benefit  1 Resilience of telephony services across the Local Authority  2 Consistent approach / standards to telephony across the Local Authority  3 4 5					
	Ref Disadvantage 1 Level of service would need to be maintained 2 Some service redesign activity would need to take place 3					

4 5 Additional Comments:
Recognition that in future years, some region / sub regional working arrangements could be explored with regards to delivering telephone services

Proposal	Review of current	Lead / Responsible	Bernadette Elias /
Title:	Electronic document	Officer:	Leanne Roberts
	management and		
	retention system (EDRM)		
Ref No:	CS05	Directorate:	Corporate Services
Date:	10/11/22	Section:	Commercial &
			Customer

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Undertake a review of our current electronic document management (EDM) solution

The current contract for EDM is with Civica.

This project supports the Bridging the Gap Third Party Spend Project and the Council's objective of maximising the use of key corporate digital solutions such as Microsoft.

Sharepoint is a cloud-based platform that integrates with Microsoft and is used as a document management and storage system and is included with our current Microsoft licencing.

More in depth learning from other Las who have under taken this approach has been undertaken which evidences that savings being realised in 2023/24 is extremely unlikely.

Both Monmouthshire and Torfaen have completed an EDM review and are moving to Sharepoint for their document management solution. The review has taken approximately 18 months to complete and they have awarded a contract to a third party that will migrate their documents to Sharepoint over the next 2 years.

Feedback from the two LA's outlines their timescales of approximately 3 years to complete. Once implemented the benefits to the Council and its new operating model are significant in relation to efficiency, collaboration and cost savings.

We are collating information on the storage size and number of files currently being held across network drives and our current Civica EDM system. This is totalling approximately 18 terabytes/ approximately 27 million files. This does not include personal network drives or other digital systems. This is similar to the figures quoted by partner authorities.

The current EDM contract costs approximately £80k per year which, following implementation to Sharepoint, will create a saving. The cloud-based solution for Civica EDM costs significantly more. This contract is due for renewal on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2023.

Learning from the experience of T & M, there will be a need for investment into data cleansing, retention tags, file indexing, migration of documents and training for staff by a third party.

Supporting Data: Please answer the following questions, with information available at this stage. Further information may need to be added prior to finalisation of proposal. Y/N Question Comments / impact Does this proposal Please indicate which priority the proposal links to: align with the Maximise learning and skills for all to create a Corporate Plan? prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities Χ An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient Additional Comments: What is the impact Aiming for a streamlined process, maximisation of current of this proposal on contracts which would not have a negative impact on the public the public & other services?

Will this proposal										
have any financial	Description	2023/24	2024/25	2025/	26 2026/	27 2027/28				
benefit?		£	£	£	£	£				
	Termination of		103,63	U						
	the contract if									
	identified as									
	achievable									
	If contract		TBD							
	remains,									
	potential									
	reduction /									
	integration of									
	systems									
				<u> </u>	1	ı				
	Additional Commen	ts:								
	If decision is made to	move orga								
	sweating the 365 con		terminatio	n of curre	ent Civica	Contract				
	would need to be neg	otiated.								
Will this proposal										
require investment	Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £				
to implement?		tbd	L	L	L	L				
		tou								
	Additional Comments:									
	Yes. (Torfaen and Monmouthshire needed investment for a third party									
	supplier to cleanse, tag, migrate files and provide training for staff.									
	Plannau Cwent had a wetahing brief with these other 1 A's to									
	Blaenau Gwent had a watching brief with these other LA's to understand what was needed									
1	1.1									
	L									
M/III this mass and										
Will this proposal	Dof Donest									
have any non-	Ref Benefit	o of other	rovotom	ns (O26	5) and					
	1 Maximisation		-	ns (O36	5) and					
have any non-	1 Maximisation associated f	unctiona	lities							
have any non-	1 Maximisation associated f 2 Streamline of	unctional of service	lities s and co			ument				
have any non-	1 Maximisation associated f 2 Streamline of management	unctional of service of across	lities s and co the LA	ontinuity	of docu					
have any non-	1 Maximisation associated f 2 Streamline of	unctional of service of across of docum	lities s and co the LA ent man	ontinuity	of docu					

5	Supporting new operating model (where some services still using paper)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ref	Disadvantage
1	Staff resource will be required throughout the review
	to support
2	11
3	
4	
5	
Add	litional Comments:

Proposal	Review of digital	Lead / Responsible	Bernadette Elias /
Title:	infrastructure/systems	Officer:	Leanne Roberts
Ref No:	CS07	Directorate:	Corporate Services
Date:	30/11/22	Section:	Commercial &
			Customer

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

This proposal will ensure that licences and contracts are maximised and managed in the most cost effective way.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact		
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?	T/IN	Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities  X An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient		

	Additional Comments::					
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	Aiming for a more s these 3 key areas No impact on Public		ed cost	effective	e way of	managing
Will this proposal	Description	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28
have any financial benefit?	Termination of the licences if identified as achievable	7BD	15,000	£	£ 2026/27	£ £
	Moving of type of licences for staff	TBD	5,000			
	Recontracting of Data lines	TBD	5,000			
	Cancelation of PSNA lines	TBD	5,000			
Will this proposal	Additional Comment Review of work has o		ced to ide	ntify opp	oortunitie	s
require investment	Investment Required	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28
to implement?		£	£	£	£	£
	Additional Comment	s:				

Will this proposal			
have any non-		Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?		1	Potential maximisation of (O365) and associated
·			Licences
		2	Streamline of lines across the LA
		3	
		4	
		5	
	'		
		Ref	Disadvantage
		1	Staff resource will be required throughout the review
			to support
		2	
		3	
		4	
		5	
		Add	itional Comments:

Proposal	Members Remuneration –	Lead / Responsible	Sarah King / Gemma
Title:	reduction to budget	Officer:	Wasley
Ref No:	CS09	Directorate:	Corporate Services
Date:	04/01/2023 (Updated)	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

The local government elections in May 2022 reduced the number of elected members for Blaenau Gwent from 42 to 33.

The outcome of the elections saw a high number of new Councillors most of whom to date have not opted to join the Local Government Pension Scheme. As a consequence of this the budget for Members Remuneration (which includes an assumed level of pension contributions) is currently forecasting a favourable variance.

It is proposed that for 2023/24 onwards the budget is reduced by £50,000 (should members decide to join the LGPS subsequently this may result in a cost pressure and alternative funding will need to be identified).

As at Q2:

2022/23 budget £925,040 Forecast £877,440

Favourable Variance £47,600

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities

	Addit	An ambitious services at the Empowering independent	ne right tin and supp and resil	me and	in the ri	ght place	e
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	Not Ap	pplicable					
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?			2023/24 £ 50,000	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Not a	nent Required applicable ional Comment	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £

Will this proposal		
have any non-	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	Risk of cost pressure should Members opt to join the
		LGPS
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal	Members Grants –	Lead / Responsible	Chief Officer
Title:	Budget reduction	Officer:	Resources
Ref No:	CS11	Directorate:	Corporate Services
Date:	09/01/2023	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

The 2022/23 budget for Members Grants is £58,410. Each elected Member has an allocation of £1,770 that can be allocated to local organisations / groups to support their activities.

These grants are discretionary and can be discontinued / reduced.

Option 1 – Discontinue grants – Financial impact £58,410

Option 2a – reduce level of grant to £1,500 per Member – financial impact £8,910

Option 2b – reduce level of grant to £1,300 per Member – financial impact £15,510

Question	T/IN	Comments / impact		
Does this proposal				
align with the		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:		
Corporate Plan ?		Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent		
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities		

	An ambitious services at the X Empowering independent Additional Comment	and sup and resi	me and	in the ri	ight plac	e
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	Reduction to the leverganisations / grou		ancial su	upport p	rovided	to local
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description  Option 1 — Discontinue Grants  Option 2a — reduce level of grant to £1,500 per member  Option 2b — reduce level of grant to £1,300 per member  Additional Comment	2023/24 £ 58,410 8,910 15,510		2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
	Additional Comment	s:				

Will this proposal	
have any non-	Ref Benefit
financial impacts?	1
•	2
	3
	4
	5
	Ref Disadvantage
	1
	3
	4
	5
	Additional Comments:

Proposal	Transformation Budget –	Lead / Responsible	Rhian Hayden
Title:	reduction to budget	Officer:	
Ref No:	RES03	Directorate:	Resources
Date:	06/02/2023	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

There is currently a budget of £541,980 to support the Council's transformation agenda and provide funding where investment has been identified to progress business cases and to allow the Council to fund investment opportunities.

It is proposed to reduce this budget by 50% to £270,995. This will provide a reduced budget for investment opportunities whilst contributing to the identified funding gap.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan ?	1/14	Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities  An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe,
		independent and resilient

	Additional Comments:						
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	Funding my not be available to progress opportunities which could generate both financial and non financial benefits						
Will this proposal have any financial	Description	2023/24	2024/25				
benefit?	Budget Reduction	270,995	£	£	£	£	
	Additional Comments:  Reducing this budget will mean there is less money annually to source external expertise to support the development of full business cases of and / or provide investment for potential strategic business reviews / opportunities.  Each request for funding will need to be considered on an individual basis &- prioritised taking into account return on investment, timescales etc.  This may delay business case development / investment and subsequently implementation of agreed proposals and achievement of return on investment.					of full ntial an on	
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required  Not applicable  Additional Comment	£	2024/25 2 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £	

Will this proposal		
have any non-	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	1	
'	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	Reduced ability to source external expertise to support
	'	the development of full business cases of and / or provide
		investment for potential strategic business reviews /
		opportunities.
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
		itional Comments:
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Commercial & Contract Management – reduction to budget	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Rhian Hayden
Ref No:	RES04	Directorate:	Resources
Date:	06/02/2023	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

There is currently a budget of £270,995 for Commercial and Contract Management. It is proposed to remove this budget on the basis that the establishment of a Professional Buying Team (proposal CS01) will improve contract management, generate efficiencies through improved purchasing and improved accountability for spend across all Services.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities
		An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place
		Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient

	Additional Comments::
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	In year contingency funding will not be available to fund additional costs arising from increased in year contract price increases.  This should be minimised by reinforcing the requirement for robust contract management arrangements to be implemented by all budget holders.
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required  2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £  Not applicable  Additional Comments:
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref Benefit 1 2 3 4

	5		
	Ref	Disadvantage	
	1	Disadvantage	
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	Add	itional Comments:	

Proposal	Regeneration Income –	Lead / Responsible	Owen Ashton
Title:	Fee Earning Charges	Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV18	Directorate:	Regeneration
Date:	10.11.22	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	10.11.22	
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

The proposal outlines the potential of additional income generation through fee earning within the Regeneration Department.

#### **Business & Innovation Team**

The Business & Innovation Team jointly manages the Industrial Portfolio and deals with all aspects of inward investment, business retention, business growth and the visitor economy within Blaenau Gwent. The team is currently being restructured to enable it to deal with an ever expanding remit of work, including delivery of WG business and enterprise programmes, Tech Valleys rolling out the Shared Prosperity Fund to support businesses during an unprecedented economic climate. The annual budget for the team is £366K. To illustrate how this cost compares to the income and investment generated by the team, **over the next 3 years the team will be responsible for the delivery of over £6.6m of investment through the Shared Prosperity Fund**, and continuing its work in securing inward investments and supporting the growth and retention of businesses within Blaenau Gwent. In managing these schemes there is scope to cover a proportion of the Team's time through charging a fee on a time-charged basis.

#### Regeneration Opportunities Team

The Business & Innovation Team is responsible for the delivery of Town Centre Regeneration, project management of industrial/commercial property implementation via strategic funding sources. Development and implementation of innovation projects funded through UK Gov and EU funds such as Horizon. Responsible for development and implementation of energy projects including roll-out of EV charging across BG, advising CCR on wider roll-out across the region. Responsible for development and implementation of digital projects such as 5G Immersive Classroom. The annual budget for the team is £317K. To illustrate how this cost compares to the income and investment generated by the team, **over the next 3 years the team will be responsible for the delivery of over £7.8m of investment** through the Shared Prosperity Fund, the delivery of strategic town centre investment projects funded through the Welsh Government's flagship Transforming Towns programme as well as a range of other funding sources from UK Government and other funders. In managing these schemes there is scope to cover a proportion of the Team's time through charging a fee on a time-charged basis.

#### Connected Communities Team

The Connected Communities Team is responsible for supporting and delivering the award winning Aspire apprenticeship programme, delivery of the Inspire programme across the region alongside supporting the delivery of Housing development across the county borough. The team is also responsible for the delivery of the UK Gov Community Renewal Fund and has let on the development of the Levelling Up Fund application into UK Gov as a collaborative project with Coleg Gwent. The annual budget for the team is £297K. To illustrate how this cost compares to the income and investment generated by the team, **over the next 3 years the team will be responsible for the delivery of over £20.9m of investment through the Shared Prosperity Fund and Levelling Up Fund as well as playing a key role in leveraging in investment through Housing development alongside the Welsh Government's Social Housing Grant programme working with Registered Social Landlords to deliver over £5m investment annually. In managing these schemes there is scope to cover a proportion of the Team's time through charging a fee on a time-charged basis.** 

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities  An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	Y	The proposal would directly align and complement each of the Corporate Plan Priorities
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		It is not anticipated that the proposal would have a significant impact on the public other than reducing the grant funding available for some costs.

	Regeneration has traditionally absorbed the cost of development work in securing funding and the project management on the client side on projects.  There is a need to more accurately reflect the work of regeneration in implementing projects and adopt a more commercial approach to our work where we are able to do so.						
Will this proposal have any financial	Description	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	
benefit?	Business & Regeneration	£30,000	£	£	£	£	
	Additional Commen	ts:					
Will this proposal require investment	Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £	
to implement?	Business &	~	~	~	~	~	
	Innovation Regeneration						
	Opportunities Connected						
	Communities						
	Additional Commen	ts:					
Will this proposal have any non-	Ref Benefit						
financial impacts?	1 Support commercialisation aims 2 Support entrepreneurial culture 3 4 5						

	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	A proportionate reduction in grant funding available
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal	Review of Costs between	Lead / Responsible	Lynn Phillips
Title:	Schools and LA	Officer:	
Ref No:	ED02	Directorate:	Education
Date:	11/11/22	Section:	Schools/Corporate

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

There are currently two costs that are incurred by the LA, however, there is the potential to transfer part or all these costs to schools, they relate to:

- 1. Premature Retirement / Redundancy Costs
- 2. Match funding element of the Education Improvement Grant (EIG)

Potential transfers would result in the following:

25% transfer to Schools = £120,000 saving 50% transfer to Schools = £241,000 saving 75% transfer to Schools = £362,000 saving 100% transfer to Schools = £482,000 saving

However, it is important to take the following into account:

 Schools currently contribute £200,000 to the Premature Retirement/Redundancy budget

Supporting Data: Please answer the following questions, with information available at this

• There is a significant risk in that a reduction in the PRC contribution might hinder the LA's ability to make decisions with regards school improvement and settlement arrangements around school-based staffing.

stage. Further information may need to be added prior to finalisation of proposal.				
Question	Y/N	Comments / impact		
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Y Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent		
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities		

What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?  Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient  Additional Comments::  This will impact on the schools budgets and could possibly affect service delivery  Description  2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28   £   £   £   £   £   £   £   £   £
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £

	Addit NA	tional Comments:
Will this proposal		
have any non-	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	1	Revenue savings
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Ref	Disadvantara
	1	Risk associated with the LA's ability to make decisions with regards school improvement around staffing
	2	This will impact on the school budgets and may affect service delivery and pupil outcomes
	3	and the second s
	4	
	5	
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal	Review of SLA Contracts	Lead / Responsible	Lynn Phillips
Title:		Officer:	
Ref No:	ED06	Directorate:	Education
Date:	11/11/22	Section:	Schools

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Blaenau Gwent's Education Directorate has SLAs with the following:

**EAS** 

**SENCOM** 

ALN SLA with Pen y Cwm

SLA with River Centre

Currently the budget estimates for 2023/24 are based on an 8% inflation increase, potential reduction of this uplift could result in the following:

0.0% uplift for SLA's = £160,000 saving

2.0% uplift for SLA's = £120,000 saving

4.0% uplift for SLA's = £80,000 saving

5.0% uplift for SLA's = £60,000 saving

However, it is important to take the following into account:

- For regional provision e.g., EAS, we would need to secure agreement across the region to achieve the saving.
- May have a detrimental impact on the ability to meet demand and impact upon learner outcomes.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact	
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Y Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent	
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities	

	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient
	Additional Comments::
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	May have a detrimental impact on the ability to meet demand for these SLA services and impact upon learner outcomes
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £

	Addit NA	tional Comments:
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref 1 2 3 4 5 5 Add	Benefit Revenue savings.  Disadvantage BG would need to secure agreement across the region to achieve the savings. SEWC Directors have agreed to work towards consistency in approaches.  May have a detrimental impact on the ability to meet demand for these SLA services.

Proposal Title:	Additional Pension Costs Aneurin Leisure Trust – removal of budget	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Rhian Hayden
Ref No:	RES05	Directorate:	Resources
Date:	10/02/2023	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

The Funding & Management agreement with Aneurin Leisure Trust established the basis of the Management Fee to be provided which included an agreed employer contribution rate to the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS).

In recent years the Trust's contribution rate has been above the agreed rate and as a result the Council has had to establish an additional budget to reimburse the Trust for these additional costs.

The latest triennial valuation of the LGPS has indicated a revised employer contribution rate for the next three years which will be lower than both the current and the originally agreed rate, as a result the additional funding will no longer be required.

It is therefore proposed that this budget be removed.

Out of the NAME of						
stage. Further information may need to be added prior to finalisation of proposal.						
Supporting Data: Please answer the following questions, with information available at this						

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact		
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable		
		connected communities  An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place		

		Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient						
		Additional Comments:						
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	N	lot ap	pplicable					
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?		Descrip Budg	et Removal	2023/24 £ 151,94	£	2025/2 £	26 2026/2 £	7 2027/28 £
Additional Comments:								
Will this proposal require investment to implement?			ent Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
		Additi	ional Comment	rs:				
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?		Ref 1 2	Benefit					

5
Ref Disadvantage 1 2 3
Additional Comments:

Proposal	Maximisation of Assisted	Lead / Responsible	Alyson Hoskins
Title:	Technology	Officer:	_
Ref No:	SS05	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	08/11/22	Section:	Adult Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Adult services have recently set up a smart flat which showcases how technology can assist people to maintain their independence in their own homes and reduce dependence on care packages which require domiciliary care staff to undertake home visits.

The aim of the smart flat is to force a culture shift to use technology but this will take time to realise and generate public confidence.

The current external domiciliary care budget is £4.8M. Through the maximisation of assisted technology, we are looking to make 5% saving to this budget from 25/26 on wards

5% of the current budget equates to £0.240M

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact		
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan ?		У	indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent	
			Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities	
		-	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place	
			Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	

	Additional Commen	ts::				
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	The impact of this Blaenau Gwent in plus it will reduce pand reduce the cos	relation to	o mainta on the c	aining the Iomiciliary	ir indepe	endence,
Will this proposal have any financial	Description	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28
benefit?		£	£	£ 240,000	£	£
				240,000		
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
	Additional Commental Investment hasn't beinvest in training staff offer and there will be technology. These cobe met via existing training	en identifie in relation e a need to ests are ye	to what to purchase to be wo	he assisted e and maint orked up and	technolo ain this d could po	gy can

Will this proposal		
have any non-	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	1	Assisted technology will enable people to maintain their independence for longer
	2	Assisted technology will result in reduced number of home visits being required resulting in saving being made
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Review of inhouse dom care service and potential externalising of extra care	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Alyson Hoskins / Michelle Church
Ref No:	SS14	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:		Section:	
	27/11/22		

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Review of home care service (saving cost to be identified by finance on the 5 options) including:

Option 1 – outsource extra care service provision Llys Nant y Mynydd and review management structure

Option 2 – outsource extra provision Llys Glyncoed and review management structure

Option 3 – both 1 and 2 above

Option 4 – option 3 plus outsource DASH emergency care team

Option 5 – potential partnership approach with neighbouring LA or ABUHB as part of an integrated reablement model – review currently underway and there is potential to streamline management structure and increase funding opportunities / RIF funding etc.

Option 6 – reduce management / planning capacity via enhancing opportunities to use technology for pay etc

There is currently an estimated £61k underspend for home care

Info required – unit costs of home care and comparison to external dom care providers. Total number of care hours provided in each extra care service

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact

Dana Hairananan al				
Does this proposal	Diagon indicate which priority the proposal links to:			
align with the	Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a			
Corporate Plan ?	prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent			
	prosperous, trinving, resilient blaenau Gwent			
	Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable			
	connected communities			
	Connected communities			
	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place			
	Yes Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient			
	Additional Comments::			
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	BG home care is the only provider of emergency care domiciliary care services and is required to support the bridging of domicillary care and hospital discharges.  Inability to respond and provide crisis support to avoid hospital admissions and carers breakdown			
	Current concerns in relation to external sustainability of dom care market including lack of staff availability / quality and financial pressures			
	Potential staff redundancies of 90 staff			
Will this proposal				
have any financial	Description 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28			
benefit?				
	tbc			
	Additional Comments:			
	Additional Comments.			

Will this proposal								
Will this proposal	Investr	ment Required	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	
require investment	11176511		£	£	£	£	£	
to implement?	Financial							
	capacity to cost							
	savir							
		osals during						
	22/2							
	2212	<u> </u>						
		tional Comment	s:					
	N/a							
147H (1 '								
Will this proposal	- 1	l =						
have any non-	Ref Benefit							
financial impacts?	1 Opportunities to streamline working with ABUHB and							
	/ or neighbouring LA – already being scoped							
	2 Opportunities to review use if technology to support							
		service						
	3							
	4							
	5							
	<u> </u>							
	Dof	Disadventege						
	Ref	Disadvantage	nordina	ouetein a	hility of	ovtornal	dom	
	1 Concerns regarding sustainability of external dom						uom	
		care market						
	2	Against WG						
	3 Potential to increase costs as service currently							
	L	underspendii	ng due t	o grant	income			
	4	-	-					
	5							
		l						
	Λ <b>Α</b> Α	itional Comm	onte:					
	Aud	itional Comm	ents.					
				·		<u> </u>		

Proposal	Targeted programme of	Lead / Responsible	Bernadette Elias /
Title:	transactional cost shift	Officer:	Leanne Roberts
Ref No:	CS06	Directorate:	Corporate Services
Date:	31/10/22	Section:	Commercial &
			Customer

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Building on the recent external organisation review of Customer access & offer (due to presented to CLT 9<sup>th</sup> February 2023) the proposal is to develop a programme of targeted work to achieve transactional cost shift as part of our commercially minded approach as an organisation.

Areas would be need to be identified taking an evidence based approach including which functions could yield most return, current business and services processed and demographic of users.

The approach can be piloted then scaled up to other areas. Other LA's are exploring this space and potential for shared learning and business collaboration will be a feature.

Early findings from the review have indicated a need to invest in the digital experience by prioritising and redesigning key journeys, focussing on our website and supporting customers to shift to digital self-serve

Baseline data would be obtained around levels of demand and costs of delivering services via different channels to support the business case for channel shift

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent

	Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities  X An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient
	Additional Comments:
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	Aiming for streamlined services which would not have a negative impact on the public  Behavioural change for residents with likely channel shift will need to be managed carefully. User research and mapping of the customer journeys will be key  Potentially an element of service redesign for some services with increased resilience in some areas.
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description    2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28

Will this proposal					
require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 20 £	224/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £ £			
	Additional Comments:				
	Potentially, but maximising current technology and syst4ems the council has invested in would be priority.				
Will this proposal					
have any non-	Ref Benefit				
financial impacts?	1 Upskilling of staff and rethem in other areas of lindigitally included. 2 3 4 5	esidents which could benefit fe through being more			
	5				
	Additional Comments:				

Proposal Title:	Streamline Community Options (day services bases)	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Alyson Hoskins / Mary Welch
Ref No:	SS07a	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	27/11/22	Section:	Provider Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	19/1/23	Update to include proposal to delete vacant managers post scale 6 from the Ash Parc structure
2	29/01/23	Remove other options to close CO Lake View building and reduce opening of Bert Denning whilst wider review of CO estates is scoped.

### Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

To reduce the current costs associated with the delivery of Community Options (Day Centre type support).

**Proposal 1** – complete the closure of Vision House and Ash Parc and remove budget from CO budget including the vacant staff posts.

Both services have been closed since April 2020 and the department are already in negotiation to close permanently from January 23. The buildings are no longer required as service is provided at Lake View and Bert Denning Centre along with support provided in Community / person's own homes as part of outreach care and support services.

#### Saving:

- 1. Vision House and Ash Parc £41,000 annual rental charges / maintenance costs / building costs etc
- 2. Green Shoots project £ 7,290 building and maintenance costs
- 3. Delete current vacant hours in CO structure:
  - 12 hrs scale 5 (£12,700)
  - 36 hrs scale 5 flexible retirement request (£36,530)
  - 37hrs scale 6 vacant post (£45,650)

Supporting Data: Please answer the following questions, with information available at this stage. Further information may need to be added prior to finalisation of proposal. Y/N Question Comments / impact Does this proposal align with the Please indicate which priority the proposal links to: Maximise learning and skills for all to create a Corporate Plan? prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality Yes services at the right time and in the right place Yes Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient Additional Comments:: Needs to be considered alongside statutory duty to provide care and support as result of SSWB act 2014 assessments. What is the impact Proposal 1- no impact as services are already reduced and not re-opened since Covid – support already provided as part of this proposal on the public & other of new model of outreach support. Saving will be achieved in services? 22/23. This will have no impact as the post/hrs are already vacant or the capacity of the service to support current level of demand Will this proposal Description 2023/24 2024/25 2027/28 2025/26 2026/27 have any financial £ benefit? Option 1 -48,290 buildings Option 1 staff 94,880 Total 143,170 Additional Comments: No redundancy implications as all posts are currently vacant

Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £
	None
Mill this proposal	
Will this proposal	Ref Benefit
have any non-financial impacts?	Ref Benefit  1 No impact on service delivery as these posts / services have remained closed since 2020 and a reduction in referrals / demand has meant that the services do not need to re- open as Lake View has replaced Vision House and we have surplus places at the venue due to the development of community based outreach.  2 3 4 5 5 6 Additional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Reduction in transport costs at Community Options	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Alyson Hoskins/ Mary Welch
Ref No:	SS07b	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	27/11/22	Section:	Provider Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	19/01/23	Additional info including details of savings already achieved by
		January 2023
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Reduce the transport costs within Community Options by:

- 1. Reducing transport fleet to 2 vehicles
- 2. Deleting 1 FTE post grade 4
- 3. Not replacing vacant driver hours (grade 3)
- 1: Community Option transport budget currently supports a fleet of 4 x14 seater minibuses of which, 2 of the vehicles are more than 10 years old and have not been utilised since March 2020.

Due to the reduction in demand for Assisted Transport we are in a position to relinquish these 2 vehicle enabling a saving of £10,000. This savings has been achieved in full

- 2: The reduction in Assisted Transport demand, and the subsequent reduction in vehicles staff responsibilities has required a review of the current staffing arrangements. This review has identified a significant change to role & reduced responsibility for 1 position, resulting in this proposal that the post be deleted
- 3: The vacant Driver post scale 3 (17hrs) is vacant, we have no requirement for this post due to the reduced need for Assisted Transport and in a position to delete from the structure enabling a saving of £14,000 **Achieved in full**

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent

	yes Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities
	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place
	Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient
	Additional Comments:
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	Limited impact as the LA has introduced a transport policy in 2019 and this alongside reduced attendance at buildings and development of an outreach model of support, has resulted in fewer attendees being assessed as needing LA transport.
Will this proposal have any financial	Description   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28   £ £ £ £
benefit?	Fleet reduction 10,000 Redundancy lead 34,200
	driver Vacant driver 14,000 post
	Additional Comments:  Redundancy costs need to be included in any saving for lead driver
	post
Will this proposal require investment	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £
to implement?	Not known

	Additional Comments:  Potential lease costs if / should one of the 2 vehicles require repair etc
Will this proposal	
have any non-	Ref Benefit
financial impacts?	2
	3
	5
	5
	Ref Disadvantage
	3
	4
	5
	Additional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Capping of community domiciliary care high-cost packages	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Alyson Hoskins/ Andrew Day
Ref No:	SS14	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	27/11/22	Section:	Care Management

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	27/01/23	Additional information added around current volume and estimated savings based on current supply.  Additional information added around risk and impact on partners
2		

### Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Implement a cap on high cost care packages at a rate equivalent to residential EMI care - currently £825 per week, and only offer care home placement to a citizen as an alternative to care at home and / only provide no more than  $4 \times 10^{-5}$  x calls per day

Need to scope current costs of high costs domiciliary care placements and availability of quality / staffed care home placements.

Analysis of existing domiciliary care packages as at January 2023, costing between £720.00 - £824.99 per week indicates that if provision was sourced through Residential care, there would be approx £17,129 cost savings per year

Comparing domiciliary care packages costing higher than £825.00 per week against the EMI Residential rate, there would be approx £61,597 cost savings per year if provision was sourced via EMI provision.

#### Total cost savings per year would be approx £78,726

Analysis of existing domiciliary care packages <u>including respite at home services</u> as at January 2023, costing between £720.00 - £824.99 per week indicates that if provision was sourced through Residential care, there would be approx £15,130 cost savings per year

Comparing domiciliary care packages including respite at home services as at January 2023, costing £825.00 and higher per week indicates that if provision was sourced through EMI Residential care, there would be approx £61,597 cost savings per year if provision was sourced via EMI provision.

#### Total cost savings per year would be approx £101,366

9		nay field to be added prior to infalledation of proposal.
Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal		
align with the		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:
Corporate Plan ?		Maximise learning and skills for all to create a
		prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities
		An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place
		Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient
		Additional Comments::
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?		Restricted choice and control for vulnerable adults and their carers / families as we would no longer be supporting a person requiring high cost domiciliary care packages to remain at home and would direct them to a care home placement as an alternative and suitable arrangement for the Local Authority to meet assessed care and support needs.
		Potential increase required of commissioning team to monitor increased care home placements as more placements are made into a care home
		Increased numbers of people requiring care home beds – although several of our care homes have availably there are nationwide concerns regarding the sustainability of external care home market – including potential care home closures due to cost of living increases, lack of care home staff to meet the demands should all beds at a home be filled etc.

	Will need discussion with CIW, WG and Health and require a cultural change across our assessment teams and social work staff as this will be a change in practice away from assessing for independent living / keeping people at home to defaulting to a care home placement when needs reach a financial level.  Risk of legal challenge should our assessment practice be viewed as operating outside of the Social Services and Wellbeing Act 2014.
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28   £ £ £ £   £   £     E
	£75k and £100k based on current assessed care packages
	Additional Comments:  These figures have been calculated based in assumptions of current 22/23 costs for commissioning a care home placement and current 22/23 commissioned costs of domiciliary care per hour.
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £
	Additional Comments: N/a
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref Benefit  1 May support the care home sector as we have some homes with high levels of vacancies:

	Nursing	Residential	Dementia Nursing	Dementia Residential		
	7	19	11	13		
2	May assist in the availability of domiciliary care for less complex packages and support hospital discharges thus creating capacity else where in the system to support people with less complex needs to remain at home as long as possible					
3	·					
4						
5						

Ref	Disadvantage
1	Sustainability concerns regarding care homes as
	outlined above
2	Sustainability issues of domiciliary care – may
	increase financial pressures and potential for them
	to provide double handed care rounds
3	Potential for legal challenge and challenge/
	increased scrutiny from regulators
4	
5	

Additional Comments:					

Proposal Title:	Closure of supported living (SL) bungalow – reducing from 4 to 3	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Alyson Hoskins / Alison Minett
Ref No:	SS10	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	27/11/22	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	08/02/23	Updated text
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

This proposal is to reduce the Local Authority Supported Living Service by one establishment – reducing the numbers of properties that are provided by the local authority from 4 properties to 3 properties. This will be achieved by the ongoing reviewing of the current care and support needs of those tenants that are living at the schemes and the freezing of any current and future vacancies as they arise as and when the current tenants leave the service over the next 6-to-12-month period.

This proposal will result in savings being made on reduced staffing across the wider Supported Living service. The buildings are not owned by the Local Authority as the tenants have a rental agreement with a registered social landlord. There are management charges that are funded by the tenants via a service charge which covers building and maintenance costs.

However any savings achieved will need to be offset by the cost of any alternative external or inhouse placements that may be required to meet the care and support needs of any tenants and in year 1 anticipated redundancy costs although some redeployment opportunities may be identified from across the wider Provider teams in particular Augusta and Community Options.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact

Does this proposal	
align with the	Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:
Corporate Plan ?	Maximise learning and skills for all to create a
Corporation rain r	prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent
	Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable
	connected communities
	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality
	services at the right time and in the right place
	Yes Empowering and supporting communities to be safe,
	independent and resilient
	Additional Comments::
What is the impact	
of this proposal on	The proposal may result in a requirement to support some of
the public & other	the existing tenants to move to alternative care settings.
services?	However the service is currently supporting a few tenants who
	have deteriorating health conditions and increasingly require
	external nursing support and who may require moves to
	nursing facilities as opposed to supporting living provision in
	the next few months. The proposal is therefore to freeze any
	vacancies as they arise to minimise the need to move existing
	tenants who needs can be met in the existing supported living
	service.
	Any savings achieved will need to be include the potential
	costs of external placements being greater than internal
	provision – this needs further costing.
	Outsourcing may impact on the capacity of internal Social Care
	commissioning team - depending on level of outsourcing that
	occurs in 2023/24 across the wider Provider portfolio (Cwrt
	Mytton / Home Care etc)
	· <b>,</b> ···································
	l l

	The department will need to negotiate an exit strategy with the current registered social landlord regarding the lease arrangements for the property.  Increased dependency on the availability of external private / RSL and third sector providers to meet future demand for supported living service for adults with a learning disability.
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description    2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28     tbc   40,000     Additional Comments:    Savings above are based on an estimated cost savings achieved when a similar exercise was completed in 2017/18 and our 5 properties were reduced to 4. The saving potential will be dependent on the:    Future care costs of the tenants who may move to alternative care facilities due to their increased care and support needs.   Redundancy costs of staff in year 1
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref Benefit  1 Staffing of Supported Living bungalows has been a challenge – we have high use of agency cover and service is a critical risk on LA risk register due to challenges in quarter 2. This has however stabilised

	during guerter 2 and use of agency stoff has
	during quarter 3 and use of agency staff has
	reduced.
2	
3	
4	
5	
Ref	Disadvantage
1	Goes against current WG policy and externalisation / sustainability of markets policy
2	It is anticipated that due to the increasing frailty and deteriorating health needs, some of the existing tenants will need to move out of service in the next few months as they will require nursing care. It had been anticipated that once this happened then the model of service would be revised to accommodate new tenants.
	Any plans to freeze or close a property will impact on the opportunities to use these vacancies to further develop more specialist support for our younger adults with a LD who require 24 hrs supported living opportunities.
3	
4	
5	
	itional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Income generation proposals - Community Options	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Alyson Hoskins / Mary Welch
Ref No:	SS11	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	19/1/23	Section:	Provider Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

#### Option 1 -

Market the availability of the Hydrotherapy pool by offering sessions to partners/external providers, this will increase the income on the allocated budget. Prior to the COVID pandemic 2020, During 19/20 the total pool hire annual income was £10,000.

It is important to note; in 21/22 the Hydro Pool underwent a complete refurbishment at a cost of £11,000.

We estimate by marketing the facility based on the 19/20 hire charges, we will potentially increase the income by 20% to approximately £12,000 during 23/24 by introducing an annual uplift in charges in line with the Authorities Fees and Charges.

The proposal includes revisiting the current charges and implement an increase in line with additional energy charges and Staffs uplift in salaries.

#### Option 2 -

Market the Bert Denning facility, reintroducing opportunities for partners/external providers to hire the Sensory/relaxation and activity rooms inclusive of equipment. As above prior to the pandemic these facilities were hired outside of core times, inclusive of weekends.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:
Corporate Plan ?		
		prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent

	Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities
	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place
	Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient
	Additional Comments:
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	Option 1; Provide opportunities to citizens who do not access the Bert Denning Centre.(i.e Health, third sector). by offering opportunities to hire the facility  Option 2; Continue to meet the needs of citizens and increase income to during 23/24
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28  £ £ £ £ £ £ £
	Additional Comments:  In 21/22 we experienced significant costs due to the level of ongoing repairs required for the pool. However, ongoing issues have now been resolved and the pool is fully functional
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £

	Addit N/a	ional Comment	s:				
Will this proposal	Ref	Benefit					
have any non- financial impacts?	1&2 3 4 5	Pool will req however the charges					
	Ref 1 2 3 4 5	Disadvantage					
	Incor	tional Comm me have been arted the com re etc.	impacte	ed by Copportu	OVID – nities of	we have	e not yet the pool

Proposal	Outsource / closure of	Lead / Responsible	Alyson Hoskins /
Title:	Cwrt Mytton	Officer:	Hannah Baulch
Ref No:	SS13	Directorate:	Social Services
Date:	27/11/22	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Planned closure / outsourcing of current care home (EMI) placements in Cwrt Mytton – currently accommodating 26 residents with dementia (capacity for 34) plus provides 2 respite beds and is the only respite care home Provider in BG. Total capacity 36 but we have closed the upstairs beds due to low staffing levels

Current cost pressure of estimated £147,000 due to staffing costs and high use of agency due to vacancy levels / staff sickness etc.

#### Need to scope:

- 1. Current costs per bed at Cwrt Mytton weekly charge is £913 per week compared to current EMI rate external of £825.70 commissioned cost for BG.
- 2. Scope the % of residents at Cwrt Mytton who pay full charge no LA contribution
- 3. Capital value of the possible sale of the building and land
- 4. Capital costs for equipment etc
- 5. Long term capital building costs replacement roof / problems with retaining walls etc

		swer the following questions, with information available at this nay need to be added prior to finalisation of proposal.
Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities

	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Yes Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient				
	Additional Comments::				
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	Approximately 45 redundancies – recent employment of an additional 10 staff who would not be eligible for redundancy.  Move 26 residents living with dementia to alternative homes – there are currently 105 empty dementia res beds in Gwent – including 13 in BG but not all of these beds are able to be occupied due to low levels of staff and quality issues (escalating concerns etc) across Gwent region.				
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28   £				
	Additional Comments  Previous Financial Efficiency Project in 2017/ 18 was not completed and full cost savings not finalised				
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28   £   £   £   £   £   E   E   E   E   E				

	Addi N/a	tional Comments:
Will this proposal have any non-	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	1	Less pressure on AS in relation to staffing / OD issues
	2	
	3	
	5	
	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	Impact of moving 26 people with dementia to other care homes across Gwent
	2	Concerns regarding the quality / financial sustainability of external care home provision across Gwent / neighbouring LA's
	3	May require additional commissioning capacity to monitor external provision
	4	Against current WG policy – more emphasis on internal markets and not externalised provision
	5	There are plans to access WG funding to create a specialist dementia hub in Cwrt Mytton – closure will mean that this can not progress.
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Frontline Staff reduction within Neighbourhood Services	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Matt Stent
Ref No:	ENV01	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	09/11/22	Section:	Neighbourhood Services

Version	Date	Changes Made			
1	09/11/2022	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft			
2	17/01/2023	2 <sup>nd</sup> Draft			

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Reduction to frontline staff within Neighbourhood Services by 1 FTE (full time equivalent)

Savings - £30k

This proposal has not identified any specific roles as the service offers an integrated front line and so jobs cover a few different roles and can be interchangeable to a point.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected	Y	The less front line staff we are able to deploy, the less we can do – so there may be less cleaning of streets done, there may be fewer bin emptying rotas, etc  The less front line staff we are able to deploy, the less we can do – so there may be less cleaning of streets done, there may be fewer bin emptying rotas, etc.
<ul> <li>communities</li> <li>An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality</li> </ul>	Υ	We work to standards of cleanliness and waste collections and are aiming for 70% recycling by 2025.

services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	Y	Safety within communities could be compromised e.g. road safety [delays to road repairs], waste & litter on streets for longer [can attract vermin].				
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		<ul> <li>Impact to service provision across the following service areas:         <ul> <li>Street Cleansing – Increase in litter / Increase in vermin</li> <li>Grass cutting – open spaces cut much less regularly</li> <li>Cemeteries – Delays in burial provision</li> <li>Waste Collections – missed collections, waste remaining on streets for a day longer (comms will be provided)</li> <li>Highways – slower response to road repairs and potholes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Υ	Description   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28   £   £   £   £   £   £   £   £   E   E				
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Y – possible redundancy payments [tbd]	Investment Required 2023/24 £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £				

Will this proposal	Yes – all		
have any non-	negative	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	[see above]	1	
,		2	
		3	
		4	
		5	
		Ref	Disadvantage
		1	Reputational damage
		2	Slower response to service requests across all
			services
		3	Delays to waste collections – some service
			suspension
		4	Higher risk of insurance claims & associated
			costs (roads/potholes)
		5	
		Addit	tional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Closure of all Play Areas	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Matt Stent
Ref No:	ENV02	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	09/11/22	Section:	Neighbourhood Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	09/11/22	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

£50,000 budget impact

The play area budget consists of 1 FTE who carries out the maintenance of all play areas, with the remaining budget used for reactive maintenance. Removal of this budget would mean all play areas with play equipment would need to close as we wouldn't be able to guarantee any maintenance to minimum standards.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected	Y	Learning and development of skills of young people through play would be impacted.
communities		

<ul> <li>An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place</li> <li>Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient</li> </ul>	Y	<ul> <li>Service / Outdoor Play equipment provision would be totally removed under this proposal.</li> <li>Proposal specifically impacts on young children throughout all communities within BG</li> </ul>
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		No outdoor play area equipment provision for children throughout BG
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Υ	Description
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Υ	Investment Required  2023/24
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?		Ref Benefit 1 2

3	
4	
5	
Ref	Disadvantage
1	No learning through play
2	Reputational damage
3	, ,
4	
5	
J	
	141 10 4
Add	itional Comments:

Proposal	Reduction in Street	Lead / Responsible	Matt Stent
Title:	Cleansing provision	Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV03	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	09/11/22	Section:	Neighbourhood
			Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	09/11/2022	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2	17/01/2023	2 <sup>nd</sup> Draft
3	01/02/2023	3 <sup>rd</sup> Draft

### Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Reduce number of street cleansing gangs from 4 to 3.

The current 4 Cleansing gangs are made up of 3 FTE each [1 driver & 2 operatives], 16 FTE in total.

£120,000 saving

Street cleansing went from 5 gangs to 4 in 2018/19/20 savings and to contribute funding to the new HWRC. The 5<sup>th</sup> gang was subsequently reinstated using agency workers to pick up the fly tipping work that had built up and now sits as a cost pressure.

A further reduction to 3 gangs will result in less resource available in terms of street cleaning, some elements of work will be reactive only. Public complaints may rise.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities	Y	A reduction in gangs may lead to longer waiting for cleansing to be arranged and less cleansing overall to happen.

<ul> <li>An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place</li> <li>Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient</li> </ul>	Y	Streets may have litter collected less often. We know there is a correlation between litter and increased numbers of vermin.
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		Increase in litter – less pleasant environment for communities Slower response to cleansing reports / fly tipping incidents Linked to potential increase in vermin [linked to possible increased work for Pest Control Service] Reputational damage
Will this proposal	Υ	Description 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28
have any financial benefit?		£££££
bonone:		3 FTE's £120,000
		Additional Comments:
Will this proposal	Υ	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28
require investment to implement?		Investment Required   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2021/28   £   £   £
to implement:		
		Additional Comments: Potential Redundancy payments
Will this proposal		
have any non-		Ref Benefit 1
financial impacts?		2

3	
4	
5	

Ref	Disadvantage
1	Increase in litter / dirtier environment
2	Reputational damage
3	Increase in vermin
4	Slower service response to cleansing & fly tipping
	reports
5	Overflowing litter / dog bins

Significant service failure Borough wide

- If an additional team is removed, it is likely that they will only be undertaking reactive duties to MCS reports with no scheduled litter picking being done. Therefore, the impact will be that the service delivery standard will have to realigned with direction required on what is priority i.e. Litter picking streets or only emptying bins and being reactive to MCS.
- External factors already impact service delivery which with further reductions may put service delivery at critical levels i.e. supporting Waste & Recycling collections, holidays and sickness.
- A directive will be required as to what council wants to make the new cleansing service delivery to look like in respect of priorities.
- Unlikely that we will be able to adhere to and meet the outcomes of the Council approved Litter and Fly tipping Strategy.
- Following previous reduction in number of crews, public complaints and amount of litter increased to such an extent that the 5<sup>th</sup> crew had to be reinstated via agency staff.
- A re-structure of the Directorate with the enforcement element of Enviro Health being transferred to Neighbourhood Services, it was decided to allow enforcement officers to concentrate on prosecutions the first responding, investigation and clearance duties would be the responsibility of the Street Cleansing section. No funding was provided with these duties which meant the duties were absorbed within the re-introduction of the 5<sup>th</sup> crew as detailed above. The funding of this crew resulted in a Street Scene service budget pressure due to associated costs being vired from other service areas. However, this crew has been a service success story with fly tipping responses and clearances improvement along with evidence gathered resulting in high increases in successful prosecutions.

Proposal	Reduction in Street	Lead / Responsible	Matt Stent
Title:	Lighting Provision	Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV04	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	09/11/22	Section:	Neighbourhood
			Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	09/11/22	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Switching off street lights.

Currently - Lighting throughout the Borough is as follows...

- Residential Every other light off at midnight
- Main Roads Lights off at midnight [except for roundabout [RABs] & junctions]
- Industrial Estates Lights off at midnight [except for RABs & junctions & where shift pattern of business dictates all night – as agreed with Business Portfolio Team].

There are numerous options associated with this proposal, for example...

- Switching all lights off at in residential areas at midnight [with the exception of RABs & junctions].
- Switch every 2 in 3 off at midnight in residential areas
- Switch main road lighting off earlier [11pm / 10pm / 9pm] each will have different impact on the budget.
- Switch of lighting on Industrial Estates earlier [11pm / 10pm / 9pm] each will have a different impact on the budget.

It should be noted that approximately three quarters of the lighting stock is now LED and therefore more energy efficient, therefore the likely savings will be less than previous years.

Due to the costs and complexity of the work needed to identify the budget impact for the examples given above, the most efficient way forward is to decide what, if any, options are agreeable and then get costs.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal		
align with the		
Corporate Plan?		
Pick One:		

and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities  An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right	Y Y Y	<ul> <li>Switching off street lights will reduce the Councils carbon footprint, however, the majority of our lighting stock is already LED so reductions would be minimal.</li> <li>Previous experience tells us that residents feel that street lighting provision is a key, quality of life service.</li> <li>This proposal would likely impact on community safety and could result in an increase in Anti-Social Behaviour. Road safety would also be compromised. Safe routes to school would be affected.</li> </ul>
benefit?	Y – to be identified when specific proposal agreed	Description

		additional Comments:
Will this proposal		
have any non-	F	lef Benefit
financial impacts?	1	Reduction in carbon footprint [minimal]
·		
	3	
	F	lef Disadvantage
	1	Road safety is compromised [vehicles &
		pedestrians]
	2	Increase in Anti-Social Behaviour
	3	on option chosen, if after midnight then mitigation
		through engagement with public.
		Additional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Public Toilet Provision – Withdraw Funding	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Matt Stent
Ref No:	ENV05	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	09/11/22	Section:	Neighbourhood Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	09/11/22	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

The proposal is to dis-continue funding for town centre toilet provision

Budget impact - £17,000

There is no budget identified for this provision, the money comes from the Street Cleansing budget

There is an option to charge the public for their use i.e. 20p per visit.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected	N N	Confinents / Impact
communities  • An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place	Υ	Service provision would become financially dependent on Community Groups

Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	N	
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		Toilet provision is run by Community Groups but funded by BG, if this funding is withdrawn, toilet provision in town centres would likely close.
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Υ	Description         2023/24 £         2024/25 £         2025/26 £         2026/27 £         2027/28 £           Site closure         £17,000
		Additional Comments:
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	N	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Y	Ref Benefit 1 2 3 4 5

	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	Reputational damage
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
1		
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Closure / part-closure of Household Waste Recycling Centres [HWRC's]	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Matt Stent
Ref No:	ENV06	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	09/11/22	Section:	Neighbourhood Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	09/11/22	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2	17/01/2023	2 <sup>nd</sup> Draft

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Closure / part – closure of HWRC's

BG has 2 HWRC's [Roseheyworth [RH] in Abertillery and New Vale [NV] in Ebbw Vale], both are open 6 days a week with RH being closed on a Tuesday and NV on a Thursday.

#### Options are:

**Full closure of 1 site** - £100,000 saving mainly associated with staffing [the site would still need to be maintained etc for any future re-opening].

**Part closure** i.e. RH open 4 days a week / NV open 3 days a week – this still allows for service provision of 1 site for the whole of the week - £70,000 saving [There will still be running and operating costs associated with keep a site both sites open]

Time taken to undertake staff consultation will impact saving achieved in year.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal		
align with the		
Corporate Plan?		
Pick One:		
<ul> <li>Maximise learning and skills for all to</li> </ul>	N	
create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent		
<ul> <li>Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable</li> </ul>	Υ	<ul> <li>Waste Management / Recycling is integral to our local environment, but also when it comes to how plastic in particular is used, disposed of and recycled on a global basis, the impact on climate and wildlife.</li> </ul>

connected communities  An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	Y	HWRC's allow for themselves, this process.					
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		Potential increase Reduced recycling Potential increase impacted	performa	ance – le			
Will this proposal	Υ						
have any financial		Description	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
benefit?		Full closure of 1 site	100,000				
		Part closure	70,000				
		Additional Commen	ts:				
Will this proposal	tbd			0004/05	2227/22	0000/07	0007/00
require investment to implement?		Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
		Additional Commen	ts:				
Will this proposal have any non-	Y	Ref Benefit					
financial impacts?		1					

2	
3	
4	
5	

Ref	Disadvantage
1	Increase in flytipping
2	Reduced recycling performance – leading to WG
	fines
3	Increase in vermin / disease – Pest control impacted
4	Reputational Damage
5	Increase intruder activity

Site closure / part closure will impact on site access to third party provision such at Re-use shop and Education Centre.

Proposal Title:	Reduction of 1no. Recycling Round / Crew	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Matt Stent
Ref No:	ENV07	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	09/11/22	Section:	Neighbourhood Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	09/11/22	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2	17/01/2023	2 <sup>nd</sup> Draft

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Reduction of 1no. Recycling collection round / crew

Using Route Optimisation and redistributing properties amongst other rounds, we believe that we can remove 1 recycling round with minimal impact to residents, although this will need some testing. Costs associated with the vehicle would remain, but the staffing element could be removed – **3 Agency staff** 

Budget Impact - £100,000

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:		
<ul> <li>Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent</li> <li>Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities</li> </ul>	N Y	Waste Management / Recycling is integral to our local environment, but also when it comes to how plastic in particular is used, disposed of and recycled on a global basis, the impact on climate and wildlife.

An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place	Y	Possibility of missed collections, delays to collections. Comms can be used to manage community expectations.
Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	N	
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		Possible delays to waste collections resulting in fly tipping
Will this proposal	Υ	Description   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28
have any financial benefit?		Emove 1no.         100,000
		Recycling crew
		Additional Comments:
Will this proposal require investment	tbd	Investment Required   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28
to implement?		£ £ £ £
		Additional Comments:
Will this proposal	Υ	Ref Benefit
have any non- financial impacts?		1
-		2

3	
4	
5	

Ref	Disadvantage
1	Possible missed collections / delays to collections
2	Increase in fly tipping
3	Possible Waste accumulations on streets
4	Impact on recycling performance [Statutory WG
	target not achieved, possible fines]
5	

Additional new Housing developments will increase demand for the service Increase in recycling performance / participation will increase service demand

Proposal	Removal of School	Lead / Responsible	Kevin Kinsey
Title:	Crossing Patrollers	Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV08	Directorate:	Regeneration &
			Communities
Date:	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2022	Section:	Infrastructure Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Remove existing school crossing patrol service, this is a non-statutory service with an annual cost of £177,838.00

We have 35 school crossing patrollers and of those around 15 are servicing school crossing that would not usually need an accompanied crossing service. However, a service has been uniformly applied in BG.

Potentially a smaller number could be removed with less impact on crossing safety, and a smaller overall saving, however this would then not be a uniform service across the Borough.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal	N	
align with the		
Corporate Plan?		
Pick One:		
Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent	N/A	Will have no impact
<ul> <li>Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected</li> </ul>	N/A	Will have no impact
<ul> <li>communities</li> <li>An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right</li> </ul>	N/A	Will have no impact

time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient  What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	N/A	Will have no impact  Pedestrian safety concerns (Child focused)					
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?		Description Salary cost savings  Additional Commuthis service	2023/24 £ 178,000	2024/25 £ urrently	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
Will this proposal require investment to implement?		Redundancy cost  Additional Commassociates with the depend on length	e remova	al of this	service	, total co	st will
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?		Ref Benefit 1 2 3 4 5					

	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	Pedestrian safety concerns (Child focused)
	2	-
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Removal of Match Funding re: Subsidised Bus Service	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Kevin Kinsey
Ref No:	ENV09	Directorate:	Regeneration & Communities
Date:	7th November 2022	Section:	Infrastructure

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Welsh Government provide a Bus Service Support Grant which is match funded by BG, currently the match funding is in the sum of £107,685. The proposal is to remove this match funding. This would result in the deletion of bus services that are not commercially viable. There are a number of services in this category in BG, they are as follows:

X15 evenings, X15 Sundays

Tredegar local services 4A, 4C, E11, E12, E14

4A Tredegar - Rhymney

4C Tredegar - Rhymney

E11 Ebbw Vale to Tredegar via Morrisons

E12 Tredegar via Dukestown

E14 Tredegar via Gwent Way

31 Brynmawr – Forgeside

1 (Cwmtillery-Abertillery-Brynithel)

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal	1/11	Comments / impact
align with the		
Corporate Plan?		
Pick One:		
Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient	N	This will reduce the ability to use public transport to access educational establishments.
Blaenau Gwent     Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable	N	Potential negative effect due to a shift to greater reliance of private transport

connected communities  An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	N N	Presents a blocker to creating the right environment to facilitate a model shift form private transport to public  Places a greater reliance on private transport provision thus reducing the resilience of the community.				
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?  Will this proposal		If BG stop subsiding bus serwould withdraw their Bus Se currently 5 bus services that well as the Fflecsi bus service withdrawn.	rvice Su are sub	ipport C sidence	Grant, the in this	ere are way as
have any financial benefit?		Description 2023/24 £  Budget saving £108K  Additional Comments:	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
Will this proposal require investment to implement?		Investment Required 2023/24 £  Additional Comments: No	£	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?		Ref Benefit 1 2				

3	
4	
5	
Ref	Disadvantage
1	Removal of bus service on 5 routes
2	Removal of Fflecsi service
3	No access to public transport for a number of our
	communities
4	Reduced public transport overall in BG.
5	·
Add	litional Comments:

Proposal Title:	Discontinuation of Pest Control Treatment Service	Lead / Responsible Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV11	Directorate:	Regen & CS
Date:	Nov 2022	Section:	Public Protection

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Discontinuation of Pest Control Treatment Service

Statutory or Non-statutory Function?

<u>Prevention of Damage by Pests Act 1949 (legislation.gov.uk)</u> - Reg 2 – The Local Authority has a <u>duty</u> to take steps to ensure the district is free from rats and mice - including carrying out inspections, destroying vermin on our own land, and enforcement action to private owners and occupiers – including direct immediate intervention in certain circumstances.

We do not have a duty to provide (directly or indirectly) a Pest Control Treatment service although district Councils having done so historically as part of their public health function.

We currently provide a Pest Control Treatment service via an external contractor that is free at the point of use for rat treatments at all domestic dwellings in the area. All other services are chargeable with the income retained by the contractor. Full details - Pest Control | Blaenau Gwent CBC (blaenaugwent.gov.uk)

Current Budget - £63,000

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:  Maximise learning	No	The withdrawal of the service would leave the Authority with significant challenges relating to rat problems. There is a high demand for free rat treatments that has remained steady over the last few years:
and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent		Rat treatment numbers over the last few years 19-20 – 1,185 20-21 – 1,729 21-22 – 1,705

Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	22-23 – to end Q2 - 943
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	<ol> <li>Increase in rat complaints from individuals.</li> <li>Increased public health risks</li> <li>Significant Impact on Environmental Health – leading to an increase in the need for statutory enforcement action.</li> <li>The Authority has previous experience of both moving to charging for rats and then cutting the service completely and leaving Pest Control treatment services to the market</li> <li>Charges were introduced when the service was being delivered in partnership with CCBC from 2013/14. During 2014/15 (following PWC input) we began to charge for rat treatments. After six months of charging, a report was considered by the Scrutiny Committee – (Blaenau Gwent CBC: Democracy (blaenau-gwent.gov.uk) – item 9). The report outlined the effect of charges during the first 2 quarters after their introduction. It showed there was a 90% decrease in demand for rat treatments, and a significant increase in public health/rat related complaints to Environmental Health.</li> <li>Service Discontinuation. For 2015/16, the service was discontinued by full Council as part of the budget setting process. As a result, the Authority began receiving a large number of complaints about rodent activity in conjunction with the changes to household waste and recycling arrangements. A Cross party working group was convened with a view to reintroducing the service and it was agreed that the most cost effective option was to contract out the service on the basis that is still ongoing today.</li> </ol>
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description         2023/24 £         2024/25 £         2025/26 £         2026/27 £         2027/28 £           Net benefit (see note)         35,000         0

					l			
								-
		service and	ional Comments: ce would lead to a enforcement acti a £28k)	an increase	e in rat rel	ated com	plaints to i	nvestigate
Will this proposal require investment to implement?			ment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
		See	above					
		Addi	tional Comment	s:				
\A/:II their name and								
Will this proposal		Def	Danafit					
have any non-	1	Ref Benefit 1 None						
financial impacts?								
		2 May be able to recoup some element from HA's 3						
		5						
		5						
		Def	D:					
			Ref Disadvantage					
			1 Increase in rodent complaints in Constituencies.					
	2							
			relating to rodent complaints relating to domestic					
			premises  Increase in public health risks due to rat complaints.					
		3						ipiaints.
		4	Need for add	illional c	apacity	in Env F	realth	
		5						
			itional Comm		4 !	_ :		.
			Pest Control t					
			ess of being r					
		the end of the calendar year. It is estimated that costs will increase significantly due to the time that has passed						
								sea
		SINC	e the last tend	er and re	ecent ni	yn iniiat	1011.	

Proposal	Closure of Mechanical	Lead / Responsible	Paul Colston
Title:	Link	Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV12	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	3/11/22	Section:	Property Services
			-

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

To mothball the Mechanical Link from the works site adjacent to the College to the lower road in Ebbw Vale, The link is open Monday to Friday between 07.30 to 17.00 with a permanent security guard presence for 6 hours each day in the link cabin.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal	Υ	It would lower Carbon Emissions for the Authority and
align with the		Blaenau Gwent to help respond to the Climate Crisis and the
Corporate Plan?		Authorities net zero aspirations
Pick One:		
Maximise learning		
and skills for all to		
create a prosperous,		
thriving, resilient		
Blaenau Gwent		
Respond to the		
nature and climate		
crisis and enable connected		
communities		
An ambitious and		
innovative council		
delivering quality		
services at the right		

time and in the right place  • Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient						
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	There are many was Vale Town centre a would mean an ex	and back	to the v	vorks sit		
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description  Mothball Link	2023/24 £ 41,000	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
	Additional Commen	ts:				
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	No Investment required  No Investment required  Additional Comment	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref Benefit 1 Extra exerci 2 3 4 5	se for pu	blic			

Ref	Disadvantage
1	Social media denouncements for a limited time
2	
3	
4	
5	

Additional Comments: The Mechanical ling has been closed fully during the Covid Pandemic with no discernible negative impact even when Schools and other buildings were reopened, there was some negativity on Social Media for some time.

Proposal	Cease Support for	Lead / Responsible	Matt Stent
Title:	Armistice Parades	Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV14	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	09/11/22	Section:	Neighbourhood
			Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	09/11/22	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Cease support for Armistice Parades

There is £2,400 in the events budget for events such as this, supporting Armistice Parades actually costs the Council a further £4000 in staffing costs which is un-funded but is picked up by the Community Services revenue/staff budget.

Total saving - £2,400

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal		
align with the		
Corporate Plan?		
Pick One:		
<ul> <li>Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent</li> <li>Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable</li> </ul>	N N	
connected communities  • An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality	N	

services at the right time and in the right place  • Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	N	
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		Reputational damage
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Υ	Description         2023/24 £         2024/25 £         2025/26 £         2026/27 £         2027/28 £           Events budget         £2,400
		Additional Comments:
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	N	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Y	Ref Benefit 1 2 3 4 5

Ref	Disadvantage
1	Reputational damage
2	
3	
4	
5	
L	
Add	itional Comments:
- 10.0	

Proposal	Dog Waste bag provision	Lead / Responsible	Matt Stent
Title:		Officer:	
Ref No:	ENV23	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	25/01/23	Section:	Neighbourhood
			Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	25/01/23	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Cease provision of dog waste bags to residents

£14,596.00 per year

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:		
Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent	N	
Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected	N	
communities  • An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place	N	
<ul> <li>Empowering and supporting communities to be</li> </ul>	N	

safe, independent and resilient							
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		Reputational damage – removing a provision resident[s] are used to having Passing costs to the resident [dog owner]					
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Y	Description   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28   £					
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	N	Investment Required  2023/24 £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £					
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Y	Ref Benefit 1 2 3 4 5  Ref Disadvantage 1 Reputational damage					

	Possible increase in dog waste in residual [black bag] waste – increase in disposal costs for residual waste and impact on recycling performance.
4 5	, , , , ,
Addi	itional Comments:

L

Proposal Title:	Move from weekly to fortnightly collections for	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Matt Stent
	green waste		
Ref No:	ENV24	Directorate:	Community Services
Date:	25/01/23	Section:	Neighbourhood
			Services

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	25/01/23	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Green waste collections are currently weekly – proposal is to change to fortnightly collections. This proposal will require restricting the amount of green waste sacks households can present at the kerbside to ensure teams can cope with the demand.

£60,000 - £95,000 depending on tonnage collected at the kerbside

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		
Pick One:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate	N N	
crisis and enable connected communities  • An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right	Υ	Possibility of a negative impact on the Council's recycling performance, increases the risk of fines by Welsh Government for not meeting statutory recycling targets

time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient  What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	N	Reputational dam frequency of colle		duction i	n servic	e provis	ion i.e.
Will this proposal have any financial	Y	Description	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28
benefit?			£	£	£	£	£
bonont:		2 x FTE's	40,000				
		1 vehicle	23,000				
		Disposal costs	see below				
		Additional Comme	nte:				
		Disposal Savings Based on  £ 6,365.00 10% reduction in tonnage £ 15,557.00 25% reduction in tonnage £					
		31,115.00	50% reduc	ction in to	nnage		
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	N	Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £
		Additional Comme	nts:				
Will this proposal	Y	Def Derest					
have any non-		Ref Benefit					
financial impacts?		1					
		2					
		3					

4	
5	

Ref	Disadvantage	
1	Reputational damage	
2	Possibility of reduction in participation by residents	
3	Possible negative impact on recycling performance	
	[see table below]	
4	Decline in recycling performance rate could lead to	
	fines from WG for not meeting statutory targets.	
5	Possibility of green waste being put into residual	
	[black bag] waste stream – increasing residual waste	
	disposal costs and further negative impact on	
	recycling performance.	

Impact on performance	Based on
-0.20%	10% reduction in tonnage
-0.50%	25% reduction in tonnage
-1%	50% reduction in tonnage

Proposal	Reduction in ISB School	Lead / Responsible	Lynn Phillips
Title:	Funding	Officer:	
Ref No:	ED01	Directorate:	Education
Date:	11/11/22	Section:	Schools

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Presently, BG passports any uplift received from WG in respect of our AEF settlement onto schools. For 23/24 this uplift is estimated to be 3%, there is a potential to reduce this uplift resulting in the following:

0.0% uplift for Schools = £1.5m saving

1.0% uplift for Schools = £1m saving

1.5% uplift for Schools = £756,000 saving

2.0% uplift for Schools = £500,000 saving

2.5% uplift for Schools = £252,000 saving

However, it is important to take the following into account:

- The ability of schools to fund staff increments, pay award, increased utility costs and inflationary increases, which could lead to an increase in deficit budgets and redundancies. Currently, schools are highlighting cost pressures of £3.4m in relation to these cost pressures.
- Overall, school balances continually increased over the last few years and in 2021/22 it increased by £2.55m to £6.23m with no school in deficit.
- In 2022/23 ISB school funding was increased by 8.4%

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact			
Does this proposal		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:			
align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Y Maximise learning and skills for all to create a			
Corporate Plan?		prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent			
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities			

	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient  Additional Comments::
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?  Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Currently, schools are highlighting cost pressures of £3.4m in relation to pay award, inflationary rises and utility costs and are already facing service cuts, this will have a negative impact on the service delivered for our learners    Description   2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28
	Presently, BG passports any uplift received from WG in respect of our AEF settlement onto schools. For 2023/24 this uplift is estimated to be 3%, there is a potential to reduce this uplift resulting in the following:  0.0% uplift for Schools = £1.5m saving 1.0% uplift for Schools = £1m saving 1.5% uplift for Schools = £756,000 saving 2.0% uplift for Schools = £500,000 saving 2.5% uplift for Schools = £252,000 saving However, currently schools are highlighting cost pressures of £3.4m
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £

	Addit	tional Comment	s:				
Will this proposal							
have any non-	Ref	Benefit	_				
financial impacts?	1	Revenue sav	ings.				
	2						
	3						
	5						
	5						
	Ref	Disadvantage					
	1	Recovery an	d renew	al plann	ing and	the ass	ociated
		negative imp					
		Gwent's lear					
	2						
	3						
	4						
	5						
	۸۵۵	itional Comm	onto:				
	Aud	itional Comm	ents.				

Proposal	Home to School	Lead / Responsible	Lynn Phillips
Title:	Transport Service Review	Officer:	
Ref No:	ED07a	Directorate:	Education
Date:	11/11/22	Section:	Home to School
			Transport

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

### Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Potential to look at options for delivery of this service, as the service is currently looking to retender their contracts during the next financial year. Potential options include:

- Revised procurement options
- Joint service with another LA
- Bring service 'in-house' and look at joint service utilising the Social Services fleet
- Engage with Environment Directorate around electric fleet

During the 2022/23 financial year the service is experiencing a cost pressure of £450,000 due to the Cost-of-Living crisis whereby an uplift of 20% had to be awarded to current contracts to retain the service. It is likely that this will remain a cost pressure for the 2023/24 financial year, with a cost pressure being highlighted of £500,000.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact		
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Y Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent		
		Y Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities		
		Y An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place		

	i	Empowering independent on al Comments	and resi		commu	nities to	be safe,
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?		determined, h delivery	owever	, would	need to	result ir	better
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	To be	on Onal Comments determined - deframe of rev	no ben				
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Additio	onal Comments determined	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £

Will this proposal	To be determined:	_
have any non-	Ref Benefit	
financial impacts?	1 Better service delivery, less travel time for learners	
	etc	
	2 Could have a positive impact on the environment	1
	3 Could result in collaborative working	
	4	
	5	
		_
	Ref Disadvantage	
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
		_
	Additional Comments:	

Proposal	Home to School	Lead / Responsible	?
Title:	Transport - Policy review	Officer:	
Ref No:	ED07b	Directorate:	Education
Date:	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2022	Section:	?

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

### Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Review current policy, BG policy more favourable than that of the statutory distance requirements specified within the Welsh Governments Learner Travel Statutory Provision and Operational Guidance.

BG currently provides transport for children under age 8 where the walking distance is more than 1.5 miles from home and 2 miles from home for those aged 8 and over compared to WG requirements of 2 miles and 3 miles respectively.

Bringing BG policy in line with WG guidance could realise savings in the overall numbers of buses required to provide this service.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:		
<ul> <li>Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent</li> <li>Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected</li> </ul>	N N/A	Could impact on attendance levels at educational establishments
<ul><li>communities</li><li>An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality</li></ul>	N/A	

services at the right time and in the right place  • Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	N/A	
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?		Description    2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28
Will this proposal require investment to implement?		Investment Required    2023/24   2024/25   2025/26   2026/27   2027/28
Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?		Ref Benefit 1 2 3 4 5

	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
		itional Comments: Likely to meet with public osition.

Proposal Title:	Reduction in Aneurin Leisure Trust Management Fee	Lead / Responsible Officer:	Lynn Phillips
Ref No:	ED08	Directorate:	Aneurin Leisure Trust
Date:	11/11/22	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

The management fee for the ALT is based on CPI as at 30<sup>th</sup> September. This is 10.1%, 2.1% higher than the agreed inflation rate for the 23/24 estimates, resulting in a cost pressure of £64,800. Potential reduction to this uplift could result in the following:

0% uplift on Management fee = £246,000 saving 2% uplift on Management fee = £185,000 saving 4% uplift on Management fee = £123,000 saving 5% uplift on Management fee = £92,000 saving

This needs to be considered in conjunction with all partnership arrangements across the Council and may require a Change Control Notice.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact		
Does this proposal align with the		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:		
Corporate Plan ?		Y Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent		
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities		
		An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place		
		Y Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	Υ	

	Additional Comments:
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	This will need to be considered with Aneurin Leisure Trust, however, it is likely to result in a reduction in the Leisure service provision available to the public.
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c }\hline \textbf{Description} & 2023/24 & 2024/25 & 2025/26 & 2026/27 & 2027/28 \\ \hline \textbf{£} & \textbf{£} & \textbf{£} & \textbf{£} & \textbf{£} \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £  Additional Comments:

Will this proposal have any non-financial impacts?	Ref 1 2 3 4 5 5 Add	Benefit Revenue savings  Disadvantage Reduction/cuts in service provision available to the public  itional Comments:

Proposal	Collaboration on a Gwent	Lead / Responsible	Ellie Fry
Title:	wide basis	Officer:	-
Ref No:	ENV19-21	Directorate:	R&CS
Date:		Section:	Various

Version	Date	Changes Made
1	06.12.22	
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

Initial meeting with TCBC, and will be following this up on a Gwent wide basis on the 14<sup>th</sup> December.

There were a number of areas where we really felt *resilience* was the key driver as there are just insufficient staff doing the job now. A number of these are commercial in nature so I've included Bernadette in the circulation.

We could share resources in:

**Building Control** 

Estates

Rights of Way

Tree Management

One area that we felt could be a combination of teams could be the Highways Teams – more to develop on that.

Technical Services (design/maintenance team) potentially to grow and one team cover Gwent – may not bring in much more income but an opportunity. (separate proforma)

Bulking up recycling for joint sale might be more profitable on a Gwent wide basis – depending on collection techniques.

Setting up our own staffing agency – Cornwall have done this. <u>Home - Jobline Staffing</u> Gareth Beer has just joined TCBC from Cornwall and so could share some learning. Much cheaper than using other agencies as we can drip feed any small profits back into Gwent authorities.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact

Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan? Pick One:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent  Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities  An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place  Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient	V	From the last schedule of condition that was undertaken for
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		From the last schedule of condition that was undertaken for the Council in 2019 that there were £1.2m of backlog maintenance outstanding.
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?		Description 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ Income ?  Additional Comments:  Unknown at this stage, some of this is just to ensure we stay as resilient as we can especially where we have 1 person teams delivering statuary services
Will this proposal require investment to implement?		Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £

		ents could do. Further discussions to be had.
Will this proposal		
have any non-	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	1	Resilience in teams
	2	Some cost savings
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	Doesn't look like much fat to cut in some of these options/areas
	2	Making the collaboration work can sometimes be difficult.
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Add	itional Comments:

Proposal	ALN Reform – Placement	Lead / Responsible	Lynn Phillips
Title:	Review	Officer:	
Ref No:	ED05	Directorate:	Education
Date:	11/11/22	Section:	Out of County
			Placements

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

### Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

The recent ALN Reform has resulted in identifying more demand for places, this is a national issue with local consequences. There is growing demand for ALN places and insufficient capacity within BG settings to place our children. Therefore, resulting in the Council having to utilise out of county placements, which is more expensive.

The Education Directorate are currently looking at alternative options to increase BG's settings thereby avoiding the higher costs associated with out of county placements.

A business case has been submitted to WG for £15m to extend our current facilities which would significantly increase the number of places available to learners. If this is not successful it would result in the LA having to find resources to match fund an alternative funding route. If successful, this could result in cost reductions in our out of county placement budget and increased income due to recoupment from other LAs utilising our places. The Education Directorate are also exploring alternative delivery methods and systems approaches across the school estate to support learners in mainstream settings with support, particularly behaviour support.

A cost pressure has been highlighted for the 2023/24 financial year due to 4 learners requiring out of county placements amounting to £464,000.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact		
Does this proposal				
align with the		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:		
Corporate Plan?		Y Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent		

	Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities			
	Y An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place			
	Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient			
	Additional Comments::			
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?	The proposal will result in an increased number of local placements for ALN and vulnerable learners and also provide improved service provision by the re-instatement of specialist provisions			
Will this proposal have any financial benefit?	Description 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £			
	Additional Comments: Yes – to be determined, likely to be realised from 2025/26 onwards			
Will this proposal require investment to implement?	Investment Required 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 £ £ £			
	Additional Comments:			

	Dependent on the success of the business case to WG. If unsuccessful may need investment from 2024/25 onwards. Financial modelling will be required to determine figures.
Will this proposal	
have any non-	Ref Benefit
financial impacts?	1 Increased number local placements for ALN and vulnerable learners.
	2 It will address issues in relation to capacity/
	insufficient specialist provision/co-location in the
	current settings.
	3 Reduce out of county placements at a significant
	cost.
	4
	5
	Ref Disadvantage
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	Additional Comments:

Proposal	Review of Operational	Lead / Responsible	Ellie Fry
Title:	Buildings	Officer:	-
Ref No:		Directorate:	
Date:	06/02/2023	Section:	

Version	Date	Changes Made
1		
2		

## Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

It is proposed to review the Council's operational buildings to determine both the current and future requirements in terms of:

- Number / type of buildings required
- Opening Hours of these buildings
- Energy efficiency opportunities
- Alternative use for surplus building assets (sale / rental/ community asset transfer)

The aim of the review is for the Council to divest itself of building assets no longer required and where possible generate either a capital receipt or a long term income stream from rental and / or to reduce the running costs of the buildings (maintenance, energy).

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact			
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent			
		Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities			
		An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place			
		Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient			

	Additional Comment	s::					
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services ?	Depending upon the outcome of the review the services delivered from Council buildings may change and access times may be amended.  It is anticipated that the impact on Blaenau Gwent residents will be limited however community engagement will be undertaken where service change is proposed.						
Will this proposal	Description	2023/24	0004/	DE   2005#	ne Longo	107 I	2027/20
have any financial benefit?	Description	£	£	25 2025/2 £	26 2026/ £	27	2027/28 £
20.18.11	Reduced running costs (maintenance, energy)	250,00	0				
	Additional Comment	s:					
Will this proposal							
require investment	Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	20	)27/28 £
to implement?	Energy efficiency measures (solar panels for example)	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbo	d
						$\perp$	
	Additional Comments:						

Ref Benefit
1 Identification of future requirements
2 Reduced carbon footprint (dependent upon findings) ?
3 Staff implications (movement of base office for agile workers)
4 Possible town centre regeneration implications/opportunities
5
Ref Disadvantage
1 May be identified during review
2
3
4
5
Additional Comments:

Proposal	Consolidation of	Lead / Responsible	Bernadette Elias /
Title:	Procurement and	Officer:	Alyson Hoskins
	Commissioning function		-
Ref No:	CS08	Directorate:	Corporate Services
Date:	10/11/22	Section:	Commercial &
			Customer

Version	Date	Changes Made			
1					
2					

# Summary of Proposal: (brief description of proposal being explored including indicative budget impact

A proposed consolidation of the Corporate Procurement team with the Commissioning team in Social Services and any other capacity in service areas identified as undertaking commissioning and procurement roles.

The review would identify financial efficiencies which would need to be identified as part of a scoping exercise including a reduction of staffing and possible integration of systems where relevant.

NB: An alternative proposal is being considered regarding a regional collaboration of Social Service Commissioning. This will be progressed in the first instance as a separate BtG proposal.

Question	Y/N	Comments / impact			
Does this proposal align with the Corporate Plan?		Please	e indicate which priority the proposal links to:  Maximise learning and skills for all to create a prosperous, thriving, resilient Blaenau Gwent		
			Respond to the nature and climate crisis and enable connected communities		
		X	An ambitious and innovative council delivering quality services at the right time and in the right place		
			Empowering and supporting communities to be safe, independent and resilient		

		Additional Comment	s::					
What is the impact of this proposal on the public & other services?		Aiming for a stream negative impact on commissioning and Any consolidation a acceptable level of	the publ contract	ic and v t manag encies v	vould magement of would not be well as	aximise expertise	excellent e,	
Will this proposal		Description	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	
have any financial benefit?			£	£	£	£	£	
penent;		Reduction in	TBD					
		number of staff Reduction /	TBD					
		integration of	טפו					
		systems						
		Cycleme						
							<u>'</u>	
		Additional Comments:  Potential to improve commercial terms and /or added value to commissioned services.  Potential to reduce staffing (subject to detailed reviews of roles and responsibilities)						
Will this proposal								
require investment		Investment Required	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £	2026/27 £	2027/28 £	
to implement?							L	
		Additional Comments:						

Will this proposal		
have any non-	Ref	Benefit
financial impacts?	1	Maximising internal skills and capacity
mariolal impacto.	2	The same and one of the sa
	3	
	4	
	5	
	Ref	Disadvantage
	1	Perception of loss of focus which would need to be
		managed
	2	managou
	3	
	4	
	5	
	bhΔ	itional Comments:
	Auu	itional comments.